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## ABSTRACT
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Introduction

The Los Angeles Unified School District annually conducts a Basic Activities Survey (BAS) to measure the opinions of certificated staff, classi= fied staff, and parents concerning the district's performance. This report summarizes the findings of the third BAS, held in spring 1985. The participants were drawn from a stratified sample of 146 randomly selected schools. The sample included schools from all eight regions (geographic areas), levels (prekindergarten through grade 12), and types (schools of choice, elementary, junior high, senior high, opportunity, special education, and continuation).

In $1985,4,121$ certificated staff, 1,849 classified staff, and 10,500 parents completed the BAS. All classified and certificated staff at the 146 survey schools were asked to complete the BAS. Staff from 62 of the 146 schools completed the BAS for the second year in a row. Including staff from 62 schools in two successive surveys permitted follow-up comparisons of current opinions with those of last year. Staff from half of the 62 repeating schools completed the 1985 BAS. The other half completed an open-ended questionnaire prepared as a follow-up to the 1984 report's recommendations. The parent survey was filled out by parents of students attending 43 of the 106 schools selected for staff surveys.

Findings

## Certificated Staff Results

- Certificated staff viewed their own school's program more favorably than the district's program.
- Certificated staff felt schools should teach generalizable academic skills (e.g., good work habits).
- Certificated staff supported upgraded standards for homework, attendance, and discipline.
- Certificated staff were only moderately satisfied with the instructional support they received from administrators and district/regional offices.
- Certificated staff cited parents' lack of interest as a serious problem for schools.
- A follow-up survey of certificated staff indicated:
- Certificated staff dissatisfied with the district's program listed lack of classroom support and the narrowly focused curriculum as the reasons.
- Certificated personnel satisfied with the district's program viewed a strong curriculum as the district's chief strength.
- An increase in support for teachers was viewed as the best way of improving the district's program.
- Teachers preferred hands=on inservices with direct classroom application.
- Certificated staff requested inservice classes related to the subjects they teach.
- Grades and attendance were viewed by many as the only essential record keeping activities.
- The respondents wished to eliminate district, region, and school surveys; rosters; and attendance records.
- The majority of first year teachers were critical of the mentor teacher program because the program was not meeting the objectives specified by its guidelines.
.. Suggestions by first year teachers to improve the mentor teacher program included: allowing all teachers to use mentor teacher services, canceling the program, and limiting the number of teachers each mentor teacher services.


## Classified Staff Results

- Classified staff rated their own school's program more favorably than the district's.
- Classified staff rated three current and proposed district programs highly:
- Upgrading standards (guidelines) for homework, at tendance, and discipline;
- Offering summer school attendance as an option to retention (elementary staff only); and
- Permitting young children to attend prekindergarten classes at age 4.
- Classified staff indicated schools were effective in:
- Keeping each student aware of his/her progress regarding academic performance; and
- Finding ways to acknowledge student efforts and accomplishments in academic, personal, and social areas.
- Classified steff agreed that five items were important to superior teaching:
- teachers' attitudes
- instructional materials
- homework
- instructional support by the principa?
= inservice programs for teachers
- Items eliciting the least positive responses were:
- Staff development activities offered by the district (54\%),
- Student behavior (42\%), and
- Preparation of noncollege-bound students for jobs (47\%).
- Classified staff identified parents' lack of interest as the single biggest problem facing public schools.


## Parent Results

- Parents indicated more fayorable opinions about the local school than about the district.
- Parents approved of the way the district informed them of their children's progress (e.g., report cards).
- Parents wanted their children to learn generalizable academic skills (e.g., good work habits, speaking and writing correctly).
- Parents most frequently chose "parents' lack of interest" as the greatest problem facing community schools.
- Parents most frequently chose the quality of education as the single best part of community schools (30\%).
- Most parents (98\%) want their children to attend college.


## Comparison of Survey Results

- Certificated staff, classified staff, and parents all rated their schools' programs more favorably than the district's program.
- Parents gave higher ratings to the quality of the district's program than did classified or certificated staff.
- Parents and certificated staff agreed on the importance of teaching generalizable academic skills (e.g., good work habits).
- Principals gave higher ratings to the quality of the instructional program than did teachers.
- Principals viewed the instructional support for teachers offered by the district, the region, and themselves more favorably than did teachers.


## Comparison of LAUSD Basic Activities Surveys with National Polls

Comparisons of the 1985 BAS with the 17th Annual Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa (PDK) and National Education Association (NEA) Polls indicated:

- LAUSD parents gave higher grades to the district's schools and to their children's schools than did public school parerits nationally.
- Both LAUSD parents and public school parents in the national survey rated the school their children attended higher than the community or dis= trict schools.
- LAUSD parents rated the teaching in their children's school higher than did the parents in the national survey.
- Public school parents in the national survey identified the biggest school problems as:
- lack of discipline
- students' use of drugs
- difficulty getting new teachers
= poor curriculum.
- The biggest problems identified by LAUSD parents were:
- parents' lack of interest
- students' lack of interest
- lack of discipline
- lack of proper financial support.
- LAUSD certificated and classified staff to a greater degree than the general public, favored the idea of permitting four year old children to attend prekindergarten classes.

Recommendations
The following recommendations are suggested for continued district growth and improvement as a result of opinions expressed by its staff and parents in the Basic Activities Surveys.

- Classified staff should be surveyed to determine their staff development needs, and classes presented to fulfill these needs. Staff development activities should be publicized so classified staff are aware of the classes offered and their purposes.
- Methods to increase parent, teacher, and student interest, participation, and motivation are needed.
- The need to teach more than the basics should be examined. Teachers indicated they need supplies, access to new teaching ideas, and curriculum materials for children with extremely low or high ability.
- Staff should be informed about outstanding instructional efforts throughout district schools.
- The mentor teacher program should be thoroughly evaluated and modified to provide maximum benefits for the district.
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## CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION
Purpose
The Los Angeles Unified School District conducts an annual Basic Activities Survey (BAS) to measure opinions held by certificated staff, classified staff, and parents concerning district performance. Aspects of district performance rated by survey respondents include curriculum, teaching methods, and instructional materials. The BAS was designed to meet these objectives:

- Supply opinion data from staff and parents which can be used in LAUSD's instructional planning process
- Permit a follow-up evaluation of opinions reported previously by district staff and parents
- Permit comparisons between attitudes toward public schocls found within the district and those found nationally in the Gallup Polls

This report presents the findings of the third BAS, held in spring 1985.
Methods

## Participants

In $1985,4,121$ certificated staff, 1,849 classified staff, and 10,500 parents completed the BAS. These participants were drawn from a sample of 146 (20\%) of LAUSD's schools.

Classified and certificated staff. All classified and certificated staff at the 146 survey schools were asked to complete the BAS. Staff from 62 of the 146 schools completed the BAS for the second year in a row. Including staff from 62 schools in two successive surveys permitted follow-up comparisons of current opinions with those of last year. Staff from half of the 62 repeating schools completed the 1985 BAS. The other half completed an
open-ended questionnaire prepared as a follow-up to the 1984 report's recommendations.

Parents. The parent survey was filled out by parents of students attending 43 of the 146 schools selected for staff surveys. From each region, two elementary schools, one junior high school, and one school of choice were randomly chosell from the 1985 BAS staff sample. Special education schools, senior highs, senior high magnets, opportunity schools, and continuation schools were randomly selected from 1985 BAS schools until the apportionment number was reached.

Drawing the Sample
As in past years, the three samples of survey respondents (certificated staff, classified staff, and parents) were randomly drawn. Two considerations are involved in drawing survey samples. First, samples must guarantee proportional representation of the types and levels of schools found within the district's regions (e.g., elementary, special education). To achieve this, a stratified random sampling technique was followed. (See Appendix A for a detailed description of the sampling procedure.) Second, samples must be large enough to ensure reliable measurement of opinions. The sample sizes for the certificated and classified surveys guaranteed a sampling error of not more than $3 \%$ at the $99 \%$ confidence level. That is, each sample guaranteed with $99 \%$ certainty that the percentage of respondents found agreeing with statement $X$ is within 3 percentage points of the value that would have been obtained if the entire population (e.g., all district certificated staff or all classified staff) were surveyed. For example, if $65 \%$ of the sample strongly agreed with statement $X$, readers know with $99 \%$ certainty that the population percentage is between 62 and 68 . (See Appendix B for a detailed description of confidence level.) The parent sample far exceeded the number needed for $99 \%$ confidence level with $2 \%$ sampling error.

## Instrument Development

The Basic Activities Surveys consisted of four questionnaires. Three questionnaires containing rating scales were designed for certificated staff, classified staff, and parents (the parent form was available in Spanish and English). An open-ended follow-up survey for certificated staff who participated in the previous year's BAS was also developed. Questionnaire items came from the following sources:

- Evaluation and Training Institute
- Polling Attitudes of Community on Education Manual
- Research and Evaluation Branch

Although each group surveyed answered a different questionnaire, some items were repeated on each form.

## Data Collection

Principals of the schools selected for the survey were responsible for distributing surveys and returning completed forms tu Research and Evaluation Branch. Certificated and classified staff were asked to answer questions privately, without assistance from others. In order to maintain anonymity, respondents placed completed forms in envelopes, sealed them, and returned them to the school survey coordinators. Coordinators returned the sealed survey envelopes to Research and Evaluation Branch. Parent survey forms were sent home with students. The instructions requested parents not to write their names on the forms. Survey coordinators collected all forms and returned them to Research and Evaluation Branch.
Report Organisation

The remainder of this report is organized into the following sections:
Chapter II, demographic characteristics of the three samples,

Chapter III, certificated staff responses,
Chapter IV, classified staff responses,
Chapter $V$, parent responses,
Chapter VI, comparisons of all three groups,
Chapter VII, comparisons of LAUSD surveys with national polls, and Chapter VIII, summary and recommendations.

## CHAPTER II

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SURVEY SAMPI.ES

## Summary

The certificated staff, classified staff, and parents completing the BAS represented all school levels, school types, and job descriptions.

- Over $50 \%$ of the certificated and classified respondents worked at the elementary level.
- Classroom and special education teachers comprised $87 \%$ of the certificated sample. Most teachers had at least 3 years of professional experience.
- Over $36 \%$ of the certificated staff had 11-20 years of professional experience.
- Education aides and teacher assistants comprised $58 \%$ of the classified sample.
- Mothers (or female guardians) completed $49 \%$ of the 10,500 parent surveys.
- Most children of sample parents had attended their schools only 1 or 2 years.


## Certificated Staff

Certificated staff members completed either the BAS Form $W$, or the BAS Form A. Form $W$ contained rating scales consistent with other BAS. Staff from 115 schools, including 31 schools from the 1984 sample, completed Form W. Form A contained open-ended questions prepared as a follow-up to the 1984 BAS. All (31) schoc1 staffs completing Form A participated in last year's BAS. Certificated staff indicated their school level, school type, job description, years of professional experience, and years at their current iocation on the BAS Form. Demographic characteristics of both samples are described in this section.

Forin W
Certificated staff returned 3,230 BAS Form $W$ questionnaires. of the certificated staff reporting their school's level, $55 \%(1,733)$ stated they
worked in elementary schools, 25\% (798) in junior high schools, and 20\% (641) in senior high schools. These figures include schools of choice and special education schools (Table 2.1). Most certificated staff were at regular schools (2,359 or 74\%). Others were at year-round schools (17\% or 525), continuation schools ( $1 \%$ or 37 ), magnets ( $4 \%$ or 129), opportunity schools ( $1 \%$ or 35 ), and special education schools ( $3 \%$ or 96 ).

The certificated sample consisted of $87 \%(2,770)$ classroom and special education teachers; 3\% (92) principals and administrators; and 10\% (315) counselors, coordinators, nurses, itinerant staff, and other nonclassroom personne1. Most (88\%) had at least three years of professional experience. The largest number ( $36 \%$ ) had 11 to 20 years. Staff having $3-10$ years and 2130 years of experience comprised $26 \%$ ( 827 ) and $22 \%$ ( 684 ) of the sample, respectively. Only $12 \%$ (381) of the respondents had less than 3 years, while $4 \%$ (120) had 31 or more years of professional experience (Table 2.1).

Certificated staff were stable with $87 \%$ having worked at the same location for 3 or more years. Many, $38 \%(1,197)$, had been with the same school for 3-10 years. Twenty-one percent (656) of the group had 11-20 years' experience at the same schocl, and another $21 \%$ (666) had 21-30 years. Only $13 \%$ (403) of the certificated staff had less than 3 years of experience, and $7 \%$ (219) had 31 years or more of experience in their current schools.

## Form A

Of the certificated staff completing Form $A$, $49 \%$ (419) were elementary personnel, 21\% (174) junior high, and $30 \%$ (257) senior high. They worked in the following types of schools: regular 77\% (658), year-round 13\% (114), continuation $1 \%$ (6), magnet $6 \%$ (48), and special education 3\% (24) (Table 2.2).

The job description and years of professional experience distributions resembled the percentages reported for Form W. The sample consisted of $87 \%$ (748) classroom and special education teachers, $3 \%$ (21) principals and
administrators, and $10 \%$ (82) counselors, coordinators, nurses, itinerant staff, and other nonclassroom personnel. A total of $89 \%$ had 3 or more years of professional experience. With $35 \%$ (301), the greatest percentage of the staff had 11-20 years of professional experience. The percentage of staff with 3-10 years and 21-30 years of experience comprised $27 \%$ (228) and $22 \%$ (186) of the sanrix, respectively. Only 11\% (98) had 1 ess than 3 years of experience and 5\% (41) had 31 years or more.

Stability is an important factor in a follow=up study. Of the staff completing Form $A, 86 \%$ had worked at the same location 3 years or more. The breakdown was as follows: staff at the current location for $3-10$ years, $40 \%$ (341); 11=20 years, 18\% (150); 21-30 years, $20 \%$ (173); and 31 years or more, 8\% (67). Only 14\% (120) had spent less than 3 years at their current location (Table 2.2).

Classified Staff
The classified sample included all classified staff in the 115 schools selected for the certificated survey Form $W$. Survey forms collected from classified staff totaled 1,849. LAUSD employed most of the ciassified staff sample (48\%) for $3-10$ years. Staff who had been employed 11 tc 20 years completed $26 \%$ of the surveys. Staff employed less than 3 years returned $22 \%$ of the surveys. This is double the percentage of certificated staff employed for the same length of time. Only $4 \%$ of the classified staff worked for LAUSD 21 or more years (Table 2.3). The largest porportion of classified surveys, $69 \%$ or 1,234 responses, came from elementary schools. Junior high classified staff returned 20\% (362) of all classified staff surveys and senior high staff completed 11\% (199). Educational aides and teacher assistants (58\%) represented the largest portion of the sample with $35 \%$ (619) and $23 \%$ (412) respectively. Remaining classified survey respondents were: 20\% (357) secretaries, office managers, and clerks; $14 \%$ (259) cafeteria staff; and $8 \%$ (147) custodial staff (Table 2.3).

Parent Sample
Parents returned 10,500 survey forms, with $66 \%(6,892)$ completed in English and $34 \%(3,608)$ completed in Spanish. Mothers or female guardians completed $49 \%$ of the surveys. Mothers and fathers or male and female guardians answered $40 \%$ of the surveys, and fathers sompleted $11 \%$. Most of the children of the parent sample had attended their survey schools 2 years or less (66\%) ,Table 2.4).

Parents gave multiple responses to two questions indicating the grades and types of schools their children attended. Over half (56\%) of the respondents had children enrolled in elementary grades prekindergarten-6. of the parents responding, $42 \%$ had children enrolled in elementary schools, $26 \%$ in junior high schools, $20 \%$ in senior high schools, $10 \%$ in magnet centers, and 2q in special education schools (Table 2.4).

Table 2.1
Certificated Staff Survey Demographics, Form W

| Group | $\underline{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School level |  |  |
| El ementary | 1,733 | 55\% |
| Junior High | 798 | 25 |
| Senior High | 641 | 20 |
| Tota 1 | 3,172 | 100\% |
| School type |  |  |
| Regular | 2,359 | 74\% |
| Year-Round | 525 | 17 |
| Continuatior: | 37 | 1 |
| Magnet | 129 |  |
| Opportunity | 35 | 1 |
| Special Education | 96 | 3 |
| Tota 1 | 3,181 | 100\% |
| Job description |  |  |
| Classroom teacher | 2,509 | 79\% |
| Special education teacher | 261 | 8 |
| Counselor | 89 | 3 |
| Other nonclassroom certificated position | 156 | 5 |
| Principal | 38 | 1 |
| Other school administrator | 54 | 2 |
| Coordinator (nonclassroom) | 70 | 2 |
| Total | 3,177 | 100\% |

21
(Table 2.1 continued)

| Group | $\underline{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of professional experience |  |  |
| Less than 3 years | 381 | 12\% |
| 3-10 years | 827 | 26 |
| 11-20 years | 1,153 | 36 |
| 21-30 years | 684 | 22 |
| 31 years or more | 120 | 4 |
| Total | 3,165 | 100\% |
| Years at current location |  |  |
| Less than 3 years | 403 | 13\% |
| 3-10 years | 1,197 | 38 |
| 11-20 years | 656 | 21 |
| 21-30 years | 666 | 21 |
| 31 years or more | 219 | 7 |
| Total | 3,141 | 100\% |

Note. Forms with incomplete information about school type or job description are not included in table. Maximum $\underline{N}=3,230$.

Table 2.2
Certificated Staff Survey Demographics, Form A

| Group | $\underline{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School level |  |  |
| El ementary | 419 | 49\% |
| Junior High | 174 | 21 |
| Senior High | 257 | 30 |
| Total | 350 | 100\% |
| School type |  |  |
| Regular | 658 | 77\% |
| Year-Round | 114 | 13 |
| Continuation | 6 | 1 |
| Magnet | 48 | 6 |
| Special Education | 24 | 3 |
| Total | 850 | 100\% |
| Job description |  |  |
| Classroom teacher | 686 | 80\% |
| Special education teacher | 62 | 7 |
| Counselor | 33 | 4 |
| Other nonclassroom certificated position | 36 | 4 |
| Principal | 8 | 1 |
| Other school administrator | 13 | 2 |
| Coordinator (nonclassroom) | 13 | 2 |
| Tota 1 | 851 | 100\% |

(Table 2.2 continued)

| Group | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Years of professional |  |  |
| experience |  |  |
| Less than 3 years | 98 | $11 \%$ |
| $3-10$ years | 228 | 27 |
| $11-20$ years | 301 | 35 |
| $21-30$ years more | 186 | 5 |
| 31 years or more | 41 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 854 |  |
|  |  | $14 \%$ |
| Years at current location |  | 40 |
| Less than 3 years | 120 | 18 |
| $3-10$ years | 341 | 20 |
| $11-20$ years | 150 | 8 |
| $21-30$ years |  | $100 \%$ |
| 31 years or more | 57 |  |
| Total | 851 |  |
|  |  |  |

Note. Forms with incomplete information about school type or job description are not included in table. Maximum $\underline{\mathrm{N}}=891$.

Table 2.3
Classified Staff Survey Demographics, Form X

| Group | $\underline{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years employed in district |  |  |
| Less than 3 years | 395 | 22\% |
| 3-10 years | 880 | 48 |
| 11-20 years | 480 | 26 |
| 21-30 years | 51 | 3 |
| 31 years or more | 12 | 1 |
| Tota 1 | 1,818 | 100\% |
| School level |  |  |
| El ementary | 1,234 | 69\% |
| Junior High | 362 | 20 |
| Senior High | 199 | 11 |
| Total | 1,795 | 100\% |
| Job description |  |  |
| Secretary | 357 | 20\% |
| Teacher assistant | 412 | 23 |
| Education aide | 619 | 35 |
| Cafeteria staff | 259 | 14 |
| Custodial staff | 147 | 8 |
| Total | 1,794 | 100\% |

Note. Forms with incomplete information about school type or job description are not included in table. Maximum $\underline{N}=1,849$.

Table 2.4
Parent Survey Demographics, Form Y

| Group | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relationship to child who brought survey home |  |  |
| Mother (or female guardian) | 5,021 | 49\% |
| Father (or male guardian) | 1,076 | 11 |
| female guardian) | 4,104 | 40 |
| Tota 1 | 10,201 | 100\% |
| Years this child attended this school |  |  |
| Less than 1 | 1,817 | 18\% |
| 1 | 2,434 | 24 |
| 2 | 2,480 | 24 |
| 3 | 1,814 | 18 |
| 4 | 645 | 6 |
| 5 | 482 | 5 |
| 6 | 297 | 3 |
| 7 | 263 | 2 |
| Total | 10,232 | 100\% |
| Grades of children in LAUSD |  |  |
| Prek indergarten | 428 | 2\% |
| K | 1,432 | 6 |
| 1 | 1,668 | 7 |
| 2 | 1,769 | 8 |
| 3 | 1,927 | 8 |
| 4 | 1,871 | 8 |
| 5 | 1,949 | 9\% |
| 6 | 1,805 | 8 |
| 7 | 2,177 | 10 |
| 8 | 1,980 | 9 |
| 9 | 1,939 | 9 |
| 10 | 1,642 | 7 |
| 11 | 1.213 | 5 |
| 12 | - 961 | 4 |
| Tota 1 | 22,761 | 100\% |
| 26 |  |  |

(Table 2.4 cont.)

| Group | $\underline{N}$ | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Types of schooi(s) chiloren attend |  |  |
| Elementary | 6,307 | $42 \%$ |
| Junior High | 3,833 | 26 |
| Senior High | 2,981 | 20 |
| Special Education | 281 | 2 |
| Magnet | 1,402 | 10 |
| Continuation | 43 | - |
| Opportunity | 42 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 14,889 |  |

Note. Not every respondent answered each item. Multiple responses were possible for grade(s) of children in LAUSD and type(s) of school attended. Maximum $\mathbb{N} \equiv 10,500$ forms returned.

## CERTIFICATED STAFF FINDINGS

## Summary

- Certificated staff viewed their own school's program more favorably than the district's program.
- Certificated staff felt schools should teach generalizable academic skilis (e.g., good work habits).
- Certificated staff supported upgraded standards for homework, attendance, and discipline.
- Certificated staff were only moderately satisfied with the instructional support they received from administrators and district/regional offices.
- Certificated staff expected principals to act as the primary source of instructional support.
- Certificated staff felt that parents' lack of interest was a serious problem for the schools.
- A follow=up survey of certificated staff indicated:
- Certificated staff dissatisfied with the district's program ifsted lack of classroom support and the narrowly focused curriculum as the reasons.
- Certificated personnel satisfied with the district's program viewed a strong curriculum as the district's chief strength.
- An increase in support for teachers was viewed as the best way of improving the district's program.
= Teachers preferred hands-on inservices with direct classroom application.
- Certificated staff requested inservice classes related to the subjects they teach.
- Grades and attendance were viewed by many as the only essential record keeping activities.
- The majority of first year teachers were critical of the mentor teacher program because the program was not meeting the objectives specified by its quidelines.


## Certificated Survey, Form W

In the 1985 BAS (Form W), certificated staff expressed opinions on (a) the quality of the program, (b) teaching practices, (c) support received in teaching, (d) the value of selected educational reforms, and (e) the single
biggest problem facing the public schools.

## Quality of the Program

Certificated staff members were asked to judge the quality of both the district's instructional program and their own school's instructional program. They gave relatively high marks to the quality of their own school (70\% judged it good) and to the way it was administered ( $65 \%$ good) (Tabie 3.1). Lowest marks went to the school's ability to prepare noncollege bound students for jobs ( $37 \%$ good). Anong certificated staff members, the greatest disagreement revealed by the questionnaire was about how well the distict's programs met the needs of students from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds: An equally big disagreement had to do with how well the district's program helped students with differing academic abilities. These disagreements also appeared in 1983 BAS.

While certificated staff members were generally positive about the quality of their own programs, they were considerably less so about the quality of the district's program (70\% good vs. $54 \%$ good). This may reflect a lack of knowledge of other schools' programs.

## Teaching

Three aspects of the teaching process were addressed by BAS questions. Certificated staff members were asked to judge the importance of teaching particular skilis; the frequency with which they employed particular teaching practices, and the effectiveness of their schools in providing students with feedback.

Skills taught. Certificated staff felt that the proper job of the schools was teaching generalizable academic skills. Teaching students to make realistic plans, a practical skill, received modest endorsement while teaching iffeenriching skills received even iless support.

```
Academic skills
- Developing good work habits (91% very important)
- Speaking/writing correctly (85%)
- Thinking analytícally (77%)
```

Teaching practices. Certificated staff members routinely followed course outlines ( $88 \%$ ) and assigned homework ( $87 \%$ ). Fewer stāff menbers regularly discussed effective instructional techniques with others, or grouped students according to needs or ways of learning (70\% and 74\%, respectively). The percentage of certificated staff following course outlines did not change between 1984 and 1985 (Table 3.2).

Providing student feedback. Certificated staff members felt their schools were doing an effective job of helping students keep abreast of their progress (86\% agreed) and of finding ways to acknowledge student accomplishments ( $83 \%$ agreed). Both of these ratings are up substantially from 1983 ratings (Table 3.3).

## Instructional Support

Instructional support includes administrative support, the condition of the school as a learning environment and as a campus, student record=keeping, and efforts made to help teachers develop superior teaching.

Certificated staff members were at best moderately satisfied with the instructional support they received (Table 3.4). Of those sources rated, leadership offered by the principal was viewed most positively (65\% satisfied). The school, both as a campus and as a learning environment, came next ( $60 \%$ and $59 \%$ satisfied, respectively). Staff was least convinced of the usefulness of the student data collected by the schools ( $33 \%$ satisfied). The supportiveness of the central district and regional/division offices received modest endorsement (45\% satisfied).

Certificated staff judged three methods of nurturing superior teaching (Table 3.5). Most valued was support provided by the principal ( $84 \%$ judged it important). Comparing this finding with the observation that oniy $65 \%$ of the
staff members are satisfied with their principal's leadership may inaicate that a number of teachers looked to their principals for support but did not find it. Principal's support was followed by inservice programs (72\% important). Certificated staff members were least enthusiastic about classroom visits by the principal or other administrators ( $57 \%$ important). Value placed on principals' support and on inservices was up from 1983-84. Reforms and Problems

Value of selected educational reforms. Certificated staff assessment of educational reforms was clear-cut. Staff strongly supported higher standards for homework, attendance, and discipline (89\% approved). This is up from the 1984 level of $84 \%$. There was also considerable support for allowing children to attend prekindergarten classes at age 4 ( $70 \%$ approved), and for allowing summer school attendance as an alternative to retention (75\% approved). There was relatively little support for permitting children to attend full day kindergarten classes (46\% approved) (Table 3.6).

Problems facing community schools. Certificated staff members were asked to identify the single greatest problem facing public schools, choosing from a list of 21 items (Table 3.7). The most frequently chosen item was "parents' lack of interest" (19\%). Also at the top of the list were "student's lack of discipline" (15\%), "low salaries" (12\%) and "students' lack of interest" (11\%).

## Comments

Certificated staff members were asked to express their opinions about any aspect of instruction not covered by survey questions. In all, 589 comments were elicited, 539 of which could be grouped into a small number of reoccurring themes (Table 3.8). The most frequently voiced complaint concerned lack of administrative support (accourting for $12 \%$ of all comments). Many teachers, and some principals, felt thay were not (a) receiving the help they needed to do their jobs or (b) being included in the decision making process.

Another concern was class size. Sixty-one teachers (accounting for $10 \%$ of ali comments) singled this out as the greatest obstacle to their increased effectiveness. Other concerns included inadequate materials (8\%), the need to improve access to new teaching ideas (8\%), the need to do more for students at both the moderately 1 ow and the high ends of the ability distribution (5\%), and the need to teach more than just the basics (4\%). If these last four issues are grouped Sogether under the labei "concern for effectively meeting as wide a range of learning needs as possible," this becomes the greatest voiced concern of LAUSD cercificated stāf (accounting for $25 \%$ of all comuments).

Certificated Follow=up Survey, Form A
Certificated personnel responding to Form A (follow-up survey) expressed their opinions on (a) why they were satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of the district's program, (b) how the instructional program could be improved, (c) effective staff development programs, (d) record keeping activities, and (e) the mentor teacher program. Judging the Quality of the Program

Half (417) of the -ertificated personnel reponding to Form $A$ expressed satisfaction with the quality of the district's program. Respondents gave 229 reasons for making this judgment 'Table 3.9). The most frequently cited reason for satisfaction was the district's strong curriculum (56 comments, or 25\%, expressed this view). The curriculum was described as well balanced (30 comments), as building basic skilis (16), and as having cieariy stated educational goais (10). The second most frequentiy cited reason for viewing the district's program as good was its competent staff ( 37 comments, or $16 \%$ ). The district's teachers received most of the praise (27 comments). Many respondents ( 30 comments, or $13 \%$ ) felt optimistic because they saw the quality of the district's program improving. Others (18 comments, or 8\%) saw improvements in student performance. Taken together, these two findings indicate that $21 \%$ of the respondents expressed satisfaction with the quality
of the district's program because of recent improvements. The remaining comments indicated that the program was good because it met the needs of a broad range of students (13\%), because teachers received support from administrators (12\%), and because staff members liked their schools (13\%).

Half of the certificated personnel responding to Form A expressed dissatisfaction with the quality of the district's program. They explained their reasons in 483 comments. The most common reason for dissatisfaction was lack of classroom support (accounting for $12 \%$ of all responses)(Table 3.10). Included in this category was lack of classroom materials and supplies. Lack of support for teachers was also cited ( $10 \%$ of all comments). Teachers wanted more administrative support, more time for planning, more inservices with direct classroom applications, and more aide time. Another 10\% felt the district's curriculum was too narrowly focused. They wanted a greater emphasis on academics, including math, science, social studies, language arts, and the fine arts. Concern was also expressed with the following: low student achievement (9\%), a lack of standardization in curriculum and teaching practices from grade to grade and between schools (9\%), and a lack of professionalism among teachers (8\%). How to Improve the Instructional Program

Certificated personnel were asked to comment on how the instructional program could be improved. The 789 comments elicited by this question were similar to those made by personnel expressing dissatisfaction with the program (Table 3.11). Increases in support for teachers and classrooms were seen as important steps by many ( $20 \%$ and $8 \%$ indicated these, respectively). Teachers were particularly interested in receiving informative inservices (51) and better classroom materials (56). A number of teachers (99, or 13\%) wanted to see classrooms organized differently. Most (78) wanted class sizes reduced, while others wanted students with similar abilities
placed in the same classroom (21). Fostering professionalism among teachers was also regarded as important ( 81 ; or $10 \%$, stated this). One way of achieving this was believed to be through more rigorous selection practices (35 agree).

Teachers were concerned with finding ways of making school programs as responsive to a broad range of student needs as possible. Some (60, or $8 \%$ ) thought the district must. do a better job of meeting the needs of special groups, such as LEP students (27) and slow learners (19). 0thers (73, or $9 \%$ ) wanted to change curriculum goals, either by placing more emphasis on academics (49) or more emphasis on basics (24). A smaller number of teachers were primarily concerned with raising academic standards (4\%) and increasing student accountability for their own progress (5\%).

## Staff Development Sessions Attended in 1984-85

Most (810, or 93\%) certificated staff responding to Form $A$ attended staff development activities during 1984-85. All totaled, survey respondents attended 1,558 inservice sessions, most of which ( $82 \%$ ) were judged effective. Inservices were sponsored by the schools (56\%), the regions (19\%), the district ( $21 \%$ ), and jointly (team efforts involving two levels, e.g., schools and regions)(4\%). Topics covered by inservices fell into 15 categories, led by those pertaining to curriculum and subject areas (682, or $44 \%$ ) and instructional planning (118, or 8\%)(Table 3.12).

School-sponsored inservices: Certificated staff reported the types of school-sponsored inservices attended and indicated whether each was effective. These figures, based on a total of 877 inservices, are:

| Topic | Attended | Judged effective |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Curriculum/subject area | $46.2 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Bilingual education | 8.3 | 80 |
| Arts | 6.7 | 95 |
| Testing | 6.6 | 83 |
| Instructional planning | 6.4 | 73 |
| Teaching techniques | 5.2 | 89 |

District-sponsored inservices. Certificated staff members reported the the types of district-sponsored inservices they attended and indicated whether each was effective. These figures, based on a total of 296 inservices, are: Topic Attended Judged effective

Curriculum/subject area
41.6\% 87\% Bilingual education Arts
Teacher morale
9.5

75
5.4

93
Teaching techniques
5.1

63

Certificated staff members reported the types of region-sponsored inservices they attended and indicated whether each was effective. These figures, based on a total of 331 inservices, are: Topic Attended Judged effective

| Curriculum/subject area | $40 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Instructional planning | 12 | 48 |
| Teaching techniques | 7 | 86 |
| Social and legal problems | 5 | 100 |
| Health | 5 | 94 |

Joint efforts. A small number of certificated staff members (53) attended staff development sessions sponsored by combinations of district levels (e.g., district and region or school and region). The greatest share of these inservices ( $47 \%$ ) were jointly sponsored by schools and regions. Inservices devoted to curriculum/subject areas accounted for $42 \%$ of the total offerings. They were judged effective by $77 \%$ of the participants. Inservices on instructional planning, which accounted for $19 \%$ of all jointly-sponsored sessions, were judged effective by $40 \%$ of those attending. Bilingual education inservices and testing inservices each accounted for $5 \%$ of the total. Bilingual inservices were judged effective by four out of the five participants, and testing inservices were judged effective by three out of the five participants. The remaining 11 jointly-sponsored inservices covered a range of topics and had few participants each.

## Preferred Staff Development

Form A respondents provided 1,412 comments describing their staff development preferences. Comments addressed preferred content of inservices (e.g., reading topics), and to a lesser extent, inservice formats (e.g., lectures).

Certificated staff members preferred hands-on inservices with direct classroom applications. Of the 361 comments describing preferences in inservice formats, most ( 140 , or $39 \%$ ) singled out hands-on workshops in which teachers created lesson plans and manipulatives for immediate classroom use. A second popular inservice format involved LAUSD teachers sharing their experiences with other teachers (95, or $26 \%$, of the comments expressed this idea). Some advocated this format because they felt that LAUSD $s$ taff offer much untapped talent. Others felt that only classroom teachers could provide really useful inservices. A third group expressed an interest in lectures by experts covering new ideas and trends in teaching methodology ( 72 comments, or 21\%). A fourth group expressed a desire for inservices appropriate to their own particular departments or grade levels (51, or $14 \%$ of the comments).

The bulk of the comments about inservices were requests for particular inservice topics ( 1,051 comments, see Table 3.13). Requests named particular subject areas (e.g., math), classroom strategies (e.g., motivating students), or personal issues (e.g., stress management). Most (713, or 68\%) addressed instructional topics, stating either, "cover the subject areas I teach" (120), or "I would like to attend an inservice on teaching creative writing" (593). Of the 120 topics named expiicitly, Bilingual-ESL (with 79 requests), science (78), computer literacy (71), and art (65) led the list.

The second largest group of comments specifying inservice topics were requests for information on effective teaching strategies (283 comments, or
$27 \%$ of all comments). These requests were stated broadly, such as "provide inservices on methods of discipline." Within the strategy category, certificated staff expressed an interest in learning more effective ways of presenting information to students (73) and in ways of managing groups of students (66).

Least frequently chosen were inservice topics of a personal interest to teachers. Only 55 (5\%) of the total number of topics could be classified this way. Chief among them was stress management, chosen by 29. Second on the list was management of district paperwork (14).

## Record Keeping Activities

The majority (74\%) of the certificated staff responding to Form A felt they were called upon to produce an excessive amount of paperwork. Half (50\% exactly) reported spending between three and six hours per week on paperwork. These respondents were asked to list the kinds of paperwork they viewed necessary.

Grading activities were viewed as the most important items of paperwork ( 416 comments, or $42 \%$ (Table 3.14 ). The types of grading activities endorsed ranged from keeping a log of daily grades to producing report cards. Attendance records were also viewed as a necessary form of paperwork (260, or $26 \%$ ). Taken together, these account for $75 \%$ of all comments. The majority of the respondents viewed these as the only two types of data which really need to be collected. Maintaining student historical data (e.g., cummulative records, student profiles) was endorsed by $11 \%$, and collecting data for special programs (e.g., Lau profiles) was endorsed by $3 \%$. It is interesting to note that three times as many staff felt all record keeping is necessary as compared to the number who felt none of it is necessary ( 37 vs. 12).

Certificated staff members were also asked to 1 ist the record keeping activities they feit should be eliminated. As shown in Table 3.15, the largest share of respondents (21\%) wished to eliminate district, region, and school surveys (e.g., racial/ethnic, evaluation, and BAS). Rosters and attendance records followed, with 97 respondents listing these as unnecessary activities (260 respondents listed attendance as necessary paperwork). Routine activities related to instruction were also unpopular: SES record keeping (8\%), profiles and continuums (7\%), homeroom records (7\%), bilingual program records (5\%), progress reports (5\%), and lesson plans (4\%). Computer grading and attendance (6\%) were disliked because they required duplicating information more than once, "bubbling," and more time than other reporting methods. Also unpopular were activities unrelated to instruction (3\%), school activities (PTA, candy drives, lunch money) (2\%), and notices to the office and parents (2\%).

## Mentor Teacher Program

Only 52 ( $30 \%$ ) first year teachers indicated they were satisfied with the mentor teacher program. A small number of new teachers described the program as helpful because they learned instructional methods from experienced teachers (24 responses). First year teachers also described mentor teachers as encouraging, informative, and always available (7 responses).

The majority of the staff responding to the questionnaire were critical of the mentor teacher program. A total of 120 (70\%) new teachers were dissatisfied with the program for the following reasons:

- Program was not beneficial (17).
- Mentor teacher was not seen during the year (15).
- Mentor teachers were not qualified (8).
- Mentor teachers were pulled out of their classrooms so often that the ongoing program of their classes was disturbed (8).
- Program was not meeting oojeritives specified by its guidelines (5).
- Teachers should be properly prepared when they get a credential (5).
- Mentor teachers did not provide timely or appropriate assistance when needed (3).

Many suggestions were given by the first year teachers to improve the program.
The most frequent responses were:

- Allow all teachers to use mentor teacher services (14).
- Cancel program (13).
- Limit the number of teachers each mentor teacher sees or make the mentor teacher an out of the classroom position (13).
- Spend money used for this program on salaries, lower norms, or schools (8).
- Do not require mentor teachers to transfer schools (8).
- Allow mentor teachers to spend enough time with each teacher (6).
- Approve mentor teachers by school faculty familiar with the school routines ( 5 ).
- Start mentor teachers' duties during the crucial first semester (5).
- Define mentor teacher duties for participants (5).
- Screen mentor teachers more thoroughly so that quality teachers are selected (5).
- Assign mentor teachers to work with specific grade levels, departments, or subjects (5).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

anks indicate questions which did not appear in the 1983 BAS.

## Staff's Performance of Selected Practices

| ctices | 1984 Percentage |  | 1985 Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Often | Seldom | Often | Seldom |
| ontinuum or course outline for your d/or grade level | 88 | 3 | 88 | 3\% |
| ork | -- | -= | 87 | 4 |
| or discuss effective instructional with other teachers | 72 | 5 | 70 | 8 |
| ts for instruction and regroup oo meet each student's needs, interests, and ways of learning | $=$ | -- | 74 | 9 |

indicate questions which did not appear in the 1984 BAS.
ted Staff Opinions Concerning Scr 21 Effectiveness in Providing Feedback
$\frac{1984 \text { Percentage }}{\text { Effective }} \frac{1985 \text { Percentage }}{\text { Ineffective }}$
ach student aware of his/her s regarding expected academic lance 79

79 8
86
4\%
lays to acknowledge student and accomplishments in academic, 1, and social areas 73

10
83
5

| Support | 1984 Percent ge |  | 1985 Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Satisfied | Disatisfied | Satisfied | Disatisfied |
| lopment activities offered by the | 40 | 26 | 45 | 25\% |
| onal support provided by your rative Region/Division office | -- | -- | 45 | 22 |
| cipal as an instructional leader | 68 | 16 | 65 | 18 |
| rance of the school buildings and | -- | - | 60 | 26 |
| 1 ness of required record keeping | 36 | 40 | 33 | 43 |
| environment encourages learning | -- | -- | 59 | 22 |

3 lanks indicate questions which did not appear in the 1984 BAS.

|  | 1983 Percentage |  | 1984 Percentage | 1985 Percentage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dsvice programis for teachers <br> uctional support provided <br> the principal <br> room visitations by principal <br> other administrators | 61 | 14 | 67 | 11 | 72 | $11 \%$ |

Blanks indicate questions which did not appear in the 1983 BAS.

| 1984 Percentage |  | 1985 Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approve | Disapprove | Approve | Disapprove |
| 85 | 6 | 89 | 3\% |
| -* | -- | 70 | 18 |
| -- | -- | 46 | 36 |
| -- | -- | 75 | 14 |

aks indicate questions which did not appear in the 1984 BAS.

Table 3.7
Certificated Responses About Public Schools
Item $\underline{f} \quad$ Percentage

What do you think is the single biggest problem facing the public schools?

| Parents' lack of interest | 608 | $19 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Lack of money | 189 | 6 |
| Students' lack of interest | 337 | 11 |
| Lack of discipline | 471 | 15 |
| Problems with administration | 108 | 3 |
| Poor curriculum | 17 | 1 |
| Students' use of drugs | 15 | 0 |
| Low teacher salaries | 385 | 12 |
| Difficulty getting good teachers | 166 | 5 |
| Lages schools/overcrowding | 141 | 5 |
| Teachers' lack of interest | 21 | 1 |
| Lack of respect for teachers | 200 | 6 |
| Lack of public support | 155 | 5 |
| School board policies | 21 | 1 |
| Mismanagement of funds | 29 | 1 |
| Lack of needed teachers | 24 | 1 |
| Crime/vandalism | 21 | 1 |
| Fighting | 1 | 0 |
| Pupil dropout rate | 30 | 1 |
| There are no problems | 5 | 0 |
| Other | 178 | 6 |

Note. Instructions asked respondents to check one item.
Maximum $\underline{N}=3,230$.

Table 3.8
Summary of Certificated Comments

| Comment | Frequency | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not receiving adequate administrative support | 73 | 12\% |
| Class size too large | 61 | 10 |
| Inadequate tests, equipment, supplies | 47 | 8 |
| Need to improve access to new teaching ideas | 45 | 8 |
| Discipline problems | 44 | 8 |
| Program concerns | 36 | 6 |
| Need to do more for kids at both ends of ability distribution | 32 | 5 |
| Too much paperwork | 29 | 5 |
| Need to move beyond teaching the basics | 24 | 4 |
| Need cooperation from parents | 22 | 4 |
| Concern with bilingual education | 21 | 4 |
| Teachers' salaries are too low | 18 | 3 |
| Teachers have attitude problems | 17 | 3 |
| Need more qualified teachers | 16 | 3 |
| Raise academic standards | 14 | 2 |
| This survey was useless | 14 | 2 |
| Teachers need release time for planning lessons | 12 | 2 |
| Classrooms are dirty | 8 | 1 |
| Need more aides, adult volunteers | 6 | 1 |
| Other | 50 | 9 |
| Total | 589 | 100 |

Table 3.9
Reasons for Satifaction with the District Program

| Program Strength | $\underline{N}$ | Percentage <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strong curriculum | 56 | $25 \%$ |
| Competent staff | 37 | 16 |
| Program quality improving | 30 | 13 |
| Program meets education goals <br> Staff receives needed educationa1 <br> support | 29 | 13 |
| Student performance improving | 28 | 12 |
| My school's program is good | 18 | 8 |

Table 3.10
Reasons for Dissatisfaction With the District's Program

| Program Weakness | N | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Too little classroom support | 55 | 12\% |
| Curriculum too narrowly focused | 49 | 10 |
| Too little support for teachers | 46 | 10 |
| Student achievement too low |  | 9 |
| Program unstandardized | 42 | 9 |
| Teachers lack professionalism | 41 | 8 |
| Too many demands placed on teachers' time | 36 | 7 |
| Not meeting needs of all the students | 34 | 7 |
| Class size too large | 32 | 6 |
| Students lack commitment | 23 | 5 |
| Too many unnecessary programs are offered | 22 | 4 |
| Bilingual-ESL needs improvement | 9 | 2 |
| Teachers have too little say | 8 | 2 |
| Misc. | 42 | 9 |

## 55

Table 3.11
Certificated Staffs' Suggestions for Improving the Program

| Suggestion | $\underline{N}$ | Percentage <br> of Tota1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Increase assistance to teachers <br> Organize classrooms differently <br> (e.g., reduce class size) <br> Foster professionalism among teachers <br> Change curriculum goals | 156 | $20 \%$ |
| Increase classroom support | 99 | 13 |
| Increase teachers' control over program | 81 | 10 |
| Better meet needs of special groups | 73 | 9 |
| Reduce outside demands on teachers' time | 65 | 8 |
| Improve student accountability/discipline | 60 | 8 |
| Improve student achievement | 41 | 7 |
| Misc. | 37 | 5 |

Table 3.12
Frequency of Inservice Attendance by Topic

| Inservice Topic | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Curriculum/Subject Areas | 682 | $44 \%$ |
| Instructional Planning | 118 | 8 |
| Bilingual Education | 117 | 8 |
| Arts | 100 | 6 |
| Testing | 86 | 5 |
| Teaching Techniques | 84 | 5 |
| School Business/Procedures | 72 | 3 |
| Social and Legal Problems | 46 | 3 |
| Record Keeping | 45 | 3 |
| Parents | 43 | 3 |
| Health | 42 | 2 |
| Morale | 38 | 1 |
| Special Education | 22 | 1 |
| Administration/Teachers | 20 | 1 |
| Program/Services | 17 |  |

Table 3.13
Preferred Content of Staff Development Programs

| Topic | N | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Instruction | 713 |  |
| Course content | 120 | $68 \%$ |
| Bilingual-ESL | 79 |  |
| Science | 78 |  |
| Computer literacy | 71 |  |
| Art | 65 |  |
| Reading | 44 |  |
| Social studies | 42 | 41 |
| Math | 32 |  |
| Composition | 141 |  |
| 0ther topics | 283 |  |
| Teaching Strategies | 73 |  |
| Instructional techniques | 66 |  |
| Management of groups | 46 |  |
| Discipline | 43 |  |
| Effective teaching | 24 |  |
| Classroom management | 15 |  |
| Motivation | 16 |  |
| 0ther topics | 55 |  |
| Personal | 29 |  |
| Stress management | 14 |  |
| Management of paperwork | 2 |  |
| Improving teacher morale |  |  |
| Teacher's legal rights |  |  |

Table 3.14
Useful Record Keeping Activities

| Type of Record | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grades | 416 | $42 \%$ |
| Attendance records | 260 | 26 |
| Student historical data | 105 | 11 |
| Evaluations for program placement | 72 | 7 |
| All record keeping | 37 | 4 |
| Records for special programs | 28 | 3 |
| Lesson plans | 27 | 3 |
| Records related to program improvement | 17 | 2 |
| No record keeping | 12 | 1 |
| Surveys | 6 | $1 / 2$ |
| Misc. | 4 | $1 / 2$ |

Table 3.15
Record Keeping Activities That Should Be Eliminated

| Activity | $\underline{N}$ | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Survess (district, region, and school) | 129 | $21 \%$ |
| Registers and attendance | 97 | 15 |
| SES Record keeping | 49 | 8 |
| Homeroom | 42 | 7 |
| Profiles and continuums | 41 | 7 |
| Computer grading and attendance | 40 | 6 |
| Bilingual program records | 34 | 5 |
| Progress reports | 31 | 5 |
| Duplication of the same information | 31 | 5 |
| Lesson plans, weekly/daily | 26 | 3 |
| Paperwork not related to instruction | 20 | 2 |
| Testing | 14 | 2 |
| PTA, candy drives, lunch money | 12 | 2 |
| Notices to office and parents | 10 | 8 |
| Others | 52 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 628 | 2 |

## Summary

Classified staff rated three current and proposed district Drogrits highly:

- Upgrading standards (guidelines) for homework, attendance, and díscípline;
- Offering summer school attendance as an option to retention (elementary staff only); and
- Permitting young children to attend prekindergarten classes aldge 4 률 .

Classified staff indicated schools wer? effective in:

- Keeping each student aware of his/her progress regarding acacalc performance; and
- Finding ways to acknowledge student efforts and accomplishmeratsin academic, personal, and social areas.

Items eliciting the least positive responses were:

- Staff development activities offered by the district (54\%),
- Student behavior (42\%), and
- Preparation of noncollege-bound students for jobs (47\%).


## Quality of the Program

Classified staff gave their highest grades to the quality of the schools' programs (70\% satisfied) and to the school administration (70), Classified staff members' judgements of school program quality were gathered in previous years. In 1983, 69\% of ciassified staff was satisfied with the school's instructional program. The number of satisfied cl aspifiect staff increased to 73\% in 1984, before dropping to 70\% in 1985. As in previous years, classified staff members judged their own school's profim more favorably than the district's (59\%). However, approval of the diftricte's program did increase by 4\% between 1984 and 1985 (Table 4.1).

Between 1983 and 1985, satisfaction with the ability of the district's instructional progiam to meet the needs of students from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds decreased $5 \%$, from $61 \%$ to $56 \%$. Similarly, satisfaction with the district's ability to meet the needs of students with differing academic abilities decreased $5 \%$, from $59 \%$ and $54 \%$. The lowest report card grades (Cs) were given to the behavior of students (42\%) and preparing students for jobs if they are not planning to go to college (47\%). Preparing students for college fared slightly better with $50 \%$ (Ct).

## Instructional Support

Instructional support includes administrative support, the condition of the school as a learning environment and as a campus, and student record keeping.

Classified staff were most satisfied with the extent to which principals communicated their expectations of staff (72\%), the appearance of the school buildings and grounds (71\%), and the usefulness of required record keeping (70\%). The 1984 BAS also included questions about the school's appearance and the principal's communication. Classified staff was $69 \%$ satisfied with both items, indicating gains of $2-3 \%$ in 1985.

The percentage of classified staff satisfied with the district's emphasiss on basic skills gained steadily in the last three years. The percentage of satisfied staff increased from $63 \%$ in 1983 to $67 \%$ in 1984 , and then to $68 \%$ in 1985.

Staff development activities offered by the district were least favorably reviewed (54\% satisfied). In addition, 230 (13\%) of the respondents marked the don't know column for this question indicating they did not participate or were unfamiliar with classified staff development activities (Table 4.2).

## Selected Issues Important to Superior Teaching

For the second coneecutive year, classified staff agreed that these five items were very important to superior teaching:

- Teachers' attitudes toward students (95\%)
- Instructional materials (94\%)
- Homework assignments (94\%)
- Instructional support provided by the principal (92\%)
- Inservice programs for teachers (89\%)

These percentages were equal to or $1 \%-2 \%$ higher than last year (Table 4.3).
Classified staff support. Classified staff rated their roles in support of the district's instructional program as very important. All four statements received ratings above $90 \%$, with setting standards of good behavior receiving the highest score of $95 \%$. These percantages were almost identical to those found in the 1983 BAS (Table 4.3).

School effectiveness in providing feedback. Classified staff members indicated the school program effectively provided student feedback. They reported the following percentages for their schools:

- Keeping each student aware of his/her progress regarding expected academic progress (79\%)
- Finding ways to acknowledge student efforts and accomplishments in academic, personal, and social areas (78\%).

The scores increased slightly over 1984 ( $2 \%-4 \%$ respectively) (Table 4.4).
Reforms and Problems

## Value of Selected Educational Reforms

Three of the four educational reforms listed on the BAS received approval from the classified staff:

- Upgraded standards (guidelines) for homework, attendance, and discipline ( $81 \%$ for the second consecutive year)
- Permitting young children to attend prekindergarten classes at age 4 ( $75 \%$ )
- Offering summer school attendance as an option to retention (elementary only)(78\%)

The proposed educational reform with least support was permitting children to attend full-day kindergarten classes. For this item, $50 \%$ of the classified staff approved and 33\% disapproved (Table 4.5). Problems Facing Community Schools

One third of the classified staff identified parents' lack of interest as the single biggest problem facing the community school (33\%). With half as many responses, teachers' lack of interest (17\%) and lack of discipline (12\%) were considered the second and third major problems for schools (Table 4.6).

Comments
Classified staff wrote 65 comments on the 1985 BAS forms. Table 4.7 provides a summary of statements made by 2 or more respondents. Classified staff suggested that schools would benefit from increases in parental interest, respect for teachers, discipline, assistance for slower students, inservice programs for classified staff, and salaries. Like the certificated staff described in Chapter III, classified staff were concerned about parental cooperation, discipline, programs for children with special needs, and salaries. On the 1984 BAS, classified staff also asked for increased discipline, classes/inservices for classified employees, and higher salaries.

## Classified Staff Ratings of the Instructional Program's Quality

|  | Program Items | 1983 Percentage |  | 1984 Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Satisfied Good | Dissatisfied Poor | Satisfied | Dissatisfi |
|  | District <br> The quality of the instructional program in the district | 55 | 17 | 55 | 19 |
|  | The district's instructional program meets the needs of students from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds | 61 | 8 | -- | -- |
| E | The district's instructional program meets the needs of students with differing academic abilities | 59 | 10 | -- | -- |
|  | School <br> The quality of the instruc= tional program in your school | 69 | 10 | 73 | 10 |
|  | The way the school is adminis tered | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | Behavior of students | -- | -- | -- | - |
|  | Preparing students for jobs if they are not planning to go to college (Seconday only) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | Preparing students for college (Secondary only) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | Note. Blank entries indicate questions not included in the BAS during the year spec ${ }^{a}$ Items one and four used a satisfied-dissatisfied scale and items two and three use |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

sified Staff Satisfaction With Instructional Support

|  |  |  | 1985 Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ce of Support |  |  |  |

. Blanks entries indicate questions not included in the BAS during the year specified.

taff Opinions of Selected Issues Important to Superior Teaching

|  | 1983 Percentage |  | 1984 Percentage |  | 1985 Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Important | Un important | Important | Un important | Important | Unimportant |
| superior teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| nal materials | -= | -- | 94 | 2 | 94 | 2\% |
| ssignments | -- | - | 93 | 2 | 94 | 2 |
| tudes toward | -- | -- | 93 | 3 | 95 | 2 |
| rograms for teachers | -- | =- | 87 | 3 | 89 | 3 |
| nal support provided incipal | -- | -- | 91 | 2 | 92 | 2 |
| district's instructional program |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| support to the instruc= gram | 84 | 3 | 91 | 2 | 91 | 2 |
| a good environment | 87 | 3 | 93 | 2 | 94 | 2 |
| ndards of good behavior | 88 | 3 | 95 | 2 | 95 | 2 |
| upport to students | 88 | 3 | 94 | 2 | 94 | 2 |

entries indicate questions that did not appear in the 1983 BAS.

## ble 4.4

assified Staff Opinions Concerning School Effectiveness in Providing Feedback

| emin | 1984 Percentage |  | 1985 Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Effective | Ineffective | Effective | Ireffective |
| eping each student aware of his/her 2 rogress egading academic performance | 79 | 10 | 79 | 7\% |
| nding ways to acknowledge student effo-ts and ccomplishments in academic, personal, and ocial areas | 74 | 11 | 78 | 7 |

72
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sified Staff Opinions on Selected Educational Reforms

| Reforms | 1984 Percentage |  | 1985 Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approve | Disapprove | Approve | Disapprove |
| Ided standards (guidelines) for homework, attendance discipline | 87 | 9 | 81 | 7\% |
| itting young children to attend prekindergarten isses at age 4 | -* | -- | 75 | 16 |
| tting children to attend full-day kindergarten isses | -- | -- | 50 | 33 |
| -ing sumimer school atterdance as an option to ention (Elementary staff only) | -- | -- | 78 | 11 |

Blank entries indicate questions that did not appear in the 1984 BAS.

Table 4.6
Classified Staff Responses About Public Schools

| Item | $\underline{f}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What is the single biggest problem facing the schools in your community? |  |  |
| Parents' lack of interest | 593 | $33 \%$ |
| Lack of money | 143 | 8 |
| Students' lack of interest | 157 | 9 |
| Lack of discipline | 221 | 12 |
| Problems with administration | 41 | 2 |
| Poor curriculum | 10 | 1 |
| Students' use of drיgs | 51 | 3 |
| Low teacher salaries | 24 110 | 6 |
| Difficulty getting good teachers | 110 | 4 |
| Large schools/overcrowding | 303 | 17 |
| Teachers' lack of interest | 17 | 1 |
| Lack of respect for teachers | 12 | 1 |
| Lack of public support | 1 | 0 |
| Mismanagement of funds | 9 | 0 |
| Lack of needed teachers | 1 | 0 |
| Crime/vandalism | 13 | 1 |
| Fighting | 2 | 1 |
| Pupil dropout rate | 5 | 0 |
| There are no problems | 4 6 | 0 |

Note. Instructions asked respondents to check one item in each section.
Maximumin $\underline{N}=1,849$.

Table 4.7
Summary of Classified Staff Comments

| Comment | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- |
| A "single biggest" problem facing public schools <br> cannot be chosen | 13 |
| Parents' lack of interest affects teachers and <br> students | 12 |
| Lack of respect for teachers and authority <br> figures | 5 |
| Increase discipline | 4 |
| Large schools and overcrowding are problems | 3 |
| Students' lack of interest is a problem <br> assistance so they don't fall further <br> and further behind | 3 |
| Improve administrator quality <br> Need inservice programs for aides | 3 |
| Increase classified salaries and benefits | 3 |

Note. Comments were written by 65 classified staff. Singular conments were not included in the table.

CHAPTER V
PARENT FINDINGS

## Summary

- Parents āpproved of the way the district informed them of their children's progress (e.g., report cards).
- Parents wanted their children to learn generalizable academic skills (e.g., good work habits, speaking and writing correctly).
- Parents most frequently chose "parents' lack of interest" as the greatest problem facing community schools.
- Parents most frequently chose the quality of education as the single best part of community schools (30\%).
- Most parents (98\%) want their children to attend college.

In the 1985 BAS survey, parents expressed their opinions on (a) the quality of the instructional program and learning environment, (b) what is important for students to learn, (c) primary school policy issues, and (d) the school's successes and problems.

Quality of the Program
Thirty percent of the sample of district parents chose the quality of education as the single best part of community schools. This was also the most frequentiy chosen success of 1984 . The level of endorsement, however, was up a substantial eight percentage points for 1985. The district's teachers were chosen as the best part of the community schools by $14 \%$ of the parents, as compared with $15 \%$ last year (Table 5.1).

## Program Components

Parents were happiest with the district's report card system. Seventynine percent felt report cards did a good job of keeping parents informed about their children's progress. Seventy-five percent of the parents were
happy with the total amount of feedback received from the schools, including notes, conferences, and phone calls. Other program components which parents were generally satisfied with included:

- Requirements for graduation
(75\% good)
- Emphasis on '.. =ic skills
:75\%)
- Help with it in ing English (non-English-speaking families)
- Tedching
- Availability of teachers for conferences
- Books and materials.

Fewer parents (68\%) feit certain that the district was adequately meeting the needs of students from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds, or of differing academic abilities. Parents showed greatest concern about the ability of the district to prepare students for jobs, and about the behavior of students ( $56 \%$ acceptable in both cases). It was interesting to note that while parents were $65 \%$ favorable toward the quality of the district's program, they were $74 \%$ favorable toward the quality of their children's schools (Table 5.2). This same favorable bias toward the local school was found for certificated and classified staff.

Parents were satisfied with the appearance of the school buildings ( $80 \%$ ) and with the school as a learning environment (75\%).

## Important Skil1s

Parents were asked to decide the importance of teaching five select skills. All were viewed as important (Table 5.3). Especiaily important were:

- Developing good work habits
- Speaking and writing correctly $\quad$ (92\% important)
- Making realistic plans for what
to do after graduation
(90\%)
- Thinking analytically.

Although a high percentage of parents felt that appreciating and participating in the arts was important, this skill ranked lowest among the five skills rated (74\% felt it was important).

Reforms and Problems

## Value of Selected Educational Reforms

Parents were asked to decide upon the importance of retention, letter grades, and full-day kindergarten classes for primary school children. The practice of using letter grades to measure student progress in grades K-2 and 3-6 received the most support ( $82 \%$ and $70 \%$, respectively). Use of retention received moderate support ( $61 \%$ and $65 \%$ for the same grade ranges) (see Table 5.4).

Problems Facing the Community Schools
Parents felt the three most serious problems facing the schools were parents' lack of interest (19\%), students' lack of interest (13\%), and students' use of drugs (10\%). Few parents considered lack of public support or teacher shortage (1\%) each) to be important problems. The 1985 ranking differed from 1984's, when lack of money was considered the biggest problem by the largest number of parents (20\%), followed by overcrowding (15\%) and the belief that there were no problems (11\%) (Table 5.5).

Parents Describe Their Children

## Homework

Parents judged the amount of time their children spend on homework each night. The largest proportion of parents (53\%) reported that their children spend one hour or less on homework each night. Twenty-five percent reported that their children spend $11 / 2$ hours or more per night (Table 5.6). The 1985 National Education Association poll found that $70 \%$ of the nation's parents wanted schools to assign at least $1 / 2$ hours of homework every night. If as many district parents share this belief, a sizable gap exists between the amount of time parents expect their children to perform homework tasks each
night and the amount of time they actually spend.

## College

Parents, by a ratio of nine to one, want sheir children to attend college. Only two percent do not want their children to attend a college (Table 5.6).

## Comments

Parents were asked to comment on instructional aspects of the schools. A total of 1,453 used this opportunity to express themselves. The comments most frequently listed indicated satisfaction with the school's program ( $\underline{n}=$ 215 or 15\%). Parents requested increases in student homework (7\%), discipline (4\%), teachers' sensitivity and understanding (4\%), and parent-school communication (4\%). Teachers at their children's schools were viewed as good (5\%). Parents expressed a need for increased supervision on school grounds (3\%). Retaining pupils in K-6 was approved if the pupil would benefit from the experience (3\%). The survey itself was noted as a good opportunity to express opinions (3\%). Following closely, with $2 \%$ shares of the responses, parents stated a need to improve the quality of teachers and counselors; wished to expedite their children's transition to Engiish in bilingual programs; expressed dissatisfaction with year-round schools; found overcrowding and large class size a problem; felt magnet schools were superior to local schools; felt children needed more individual assistance in problem areas; and desired motivating books and materials for the pupils. Parents' reactions to a variety of issues were made. Table 5.7 provides a summary of the most prevalent statements.

Table 5.1
Parents' Opinions About the Best Part of Community Schools


Note. Instructions asked respondents to check just one item on this iist.

Table 5.2

## Parents' Opinions About the Quality of the District's Program

| Item |
| :--- |
| District <br> The way the district's instructional program <br> meets the needs of students from diverse <br> ethnic and racial backgrounds |
| The way the district's instructional program <br> meets the needs of students with differing <br> academic abilities |
| The district's requirements for a student to <br> get a high school diploma |
| The district's current emphasis on learning <br> basic skills (reading, writing, English, <br> and mathematics) |
| The quality of the instructional program <br> in the district |
| School <br> The quality of the instructional program <br> in your child's school. |
| The amount of homework given |
| The help your child gets in learning English <br> (if you speak another language at home) |
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|  | $\begin{gathered} 1983 \\ \text { Percentage } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1984 \\ \text { Percentage } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1985 \\ \text { Percentage } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Good | Poor | Good | Poor | Good | Poor |
| of information you get about your orogress in school (notes, report onferences, and phone calls) | 73 | 8 | 72 | 8 | 75 | $7 \%$ |
| 1g at your child's school | 73 | 4 | 75 | 4 | 76 | 5 |
| tudents for jobs if they are not to go to college | = | -- | 50 | 17 | 56 | 16 |
| ility of teachers for conferences to our child's school progress | =- | -- | 76 | 6 | 74 | 6 |
| tion provided on school report cards ir child's academic achievement, ts, and citizenship | = | -- | 79 | 4 | 79 | 4 |
| tudents for college | -- | -* | -- | -- | 68 | 9 |
| nstructional materiàls | -- | -- | -- | -- | 74 | 6 |
| students | -- | -- | 52 | 13 | 56 | 12 |

nks indicate questions which did not appear in the 1983 or 1984 BAS.

Table 5.3
Parent Responses: Important vs. Unimportant

| Item | 1985 Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Important | Unimportant |
| Developing good work habits (the ability to organize thoughts, to concentrate, and to complete the task | 92 | $1 \%$ |
| Thinking analytically (logically) | 89 | 2 |
| Speaking and writing correctly | 92 | 2 |
| Making realistic plans for what to do after high school graduation | 90 | 2 |
| Appreciating and participating in the arts, music, literature, theater, etc | 74 | 4 |
| Permitting children to attend full-day kindergarten classes | 67 | 10 |
| Using letter grades on report cards to measure progress in grades K -2 | 70 | 9 |
| Using letter grades on report cards to measure progress in grades 3-6 | 82 | 4 |
| Retaining pupils in grades K-2 for another year | 61 | 15 |
| Retaining pupils in grades 3-6 for another year | 65. | 13 |

Table 5.4
Parents Opinions on School Reforms

|  | Percentage |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Permitting children to attend full-day kinder- <br> garten classes | Important | Important |
| Using letter grades on report cards to measure <br> progress in grades K-2 | 67 | $10 \%$ |
| Using letter grades on report cards to measure <br> progress in grades $3-6$ | 70 | 9 |
| Retaining pupils in grades | 82 | 4 |
| Retaining pupils in grades $3-6$ for another year | 61 | 15 |

Table 5.5
Parente' Opinions About the Biggest Problem Facing Community Schools
Item

```
What do you think is the single biggest
problem facing the schools in your community?
```





```7
```
























Note. Instructions asked respondents to check just one item on this dilt.
Blanks indicate questions which did not appear in the 1984 BAS.
ble 5.6
rent Survey, Seleeted Issues

| Oup | $\underline{N}$ | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| uld likichild to go to college |  |  |
| Yes |  |  |
| No | 9,177 | $90 \%$ |
| Don't know | 172 | 2 |
| Total | 833 | 8 |

me child spends or homework each school night

| $1 / 2$ hour or 1 ess | 2,28 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| $1 / 2$ hour to $1 / 2$ our | 2,286 | 31 |
| 1 hour to $1 / E$ hours | 3,215 | 22 |
| $11 / 2$ hours to 2 hours | 2,224 | 10 |
| 2 hours or more | 1,032 | 15 |
| Total | 1,501 |  |

te. Every respondent did not answer each item. Maximum $\underline{N}=10,500$ forms turned.


Surammary of Parent Survey Comments

| Comemment | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sa-tisfied with school program | $214=$ | 15\% |
| Homemerk should be increased | $98=$ | 7 |
| feaschers at my child's school are good | $17=$ | 5 |
| Inocrease discipline | 64 풀 | 4 |
| Inocrease teacher sensitivity and under$=s$ tanding | 64 플 | 4 |
| Inecrease/improve parent-school communi- <br> ocation | 5ee | 4 |
| Su* rvey is a good opportunity to express <br> - opinions | 4) ${ }^{-}$ | 3 |
| Re-taining pupils in $K-6$ is all right if it Fwill help the pupi | AES | 3 |
| Improve the quality of counselors and teachers | 365 | 3 |
| Need to increase supervision on schoo 1 - grounds | 350 | 3 |
| Noed to improve bilingual education/expedite <br> -transition to English | 333 | 2 |
| Dicsatisfied with year-round schedule/prefer sregular schedule | $28=$ | 2 |
| Recoduce class size/overcrowding | $27^{-}$ | 2 |
| Magnet school is superior to local school ch ildren need more individual assistancewith | 25 - | 2 |
| EProblem a reas | 235 | 2 |
| proovide motivating and interesting books End materials | 235 | 2 |
| Quelity of education is low | 21 | 1 |
| Teeachers should be more demanding | 19 - | 1 |
| DrLigs are a problem | 19 | 1 |
| Thank you for helping our cinildren | $18=$ | 1 |
| ade computer science instruction to curriculum | $16=$ | 1 |
| Improve school cleanliness and appearance | 16 | 1 |
| Inerease instructional time (hours per day/ تlays per year) | 17 | 1 |
| Need more bilingual teachers, aides and nmaterials | 16 - | 1 |
| garigs are a problem | 15 | 1 |
| paksent lack of interest is a problem | 14. | 1 |
| Institute dress codes | $14-$ | 1 |
| Tesch children to respect one another | $13=$ | 1 |
| A1 Biow more electives and classes in the arts | 13. | 1 |
| sturdents are given too much homework | $12=$ | 1 |
| Sch-7001s need PTA | 11 | 1 |
| Incerease teacher salaries | 11 | 1 |


(TaKble 5.7 continued)

| Comment | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incrrease sports, gymnastics, and extra ceurricular activities |  |  |
| Survey data does not pertain to special e-ducation schools | 10 | 1 |
| ImPP- rove teaching of reading | 10 | 1 |
| Tedoch children to respect adults | 9 | 1 |
| Tedeching fundamental skills is important | 9 | 1 |
| Inctrease social studies instruction <br> (\& geography, history, science) | 9 | 1 |
| Neecd progress reports from school more frequently | 9 | 1 |
| Al l-w prayer in schools | 8 | - |
| Incarease gifted funding | 8 |  |
| Teach skills needed for self-sufficiency Dis atisfied/disapprove of bilingual program | 8 | - |
| Incerease educational trips | 8 | - |
| 0 th ¢rs | 204 | 14 |
| Toter 1 | 1,453 | 100\% |
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## CHAPTER VI

## COMPARISONS OF SURVEY OPINIONS

## Summary

- Parents gave higher ratings to the quality of the district's program than did classified or certificated staff.
- Parents and certificated staff agreed on the importance of teaching generalizable academic skills (e.g., good work habits).
- Principals gave higher ratings to the quality of the instructional program than did teachers.
- Principals viewed the instructional support for teachers offered by the district, the region, and themselves more favorably than did teachers.


## Comparison Groups

Two sets of comparisons were made usino BAS data. First, the opinions held by parents, classified staff, and certificated staff were compared. Second, the opinions held by principals and teachers were compared. Comparisons of Parents, Classified Staff, and Certificated Staff Opinions

Parents, classified staff, and certificated staff expressed their opinions on (a) the quality of the program, (b) the importance of teaching selected skills, and (c) the school as a campus and a learring environment.

Quality of the program. Parents consistently gave higher ratings to the quality of LAUSD's program and its ability to meet diverse needs than did either classified or certificated staff (Table 6.1) Certificated staff generally gave the poorest ratings of the three groups. Divergence between the views of parents and school personnel is greatest for issues pertaining to what students learn. Parents thought the district did a good job of academic preparation while school personnel thought it did a fair job.

Importance of teaching selected skills. Certificated staff and parents agreed that schools should be concerned with teaching generalizable academic skills, especially concrete ones such as good work habits and speaking and writing correctly. Teaching students practical skills, (i.e., how to make realistic postgraduation plans), and life enriching skills, (i.e., appreciation of the arts), were viewed as somewhat less important by certificated staff and parents. Certificated staff gave each of the selected skills a higher rating than did parents (Table 6.2).

The school. Parents were satisfied with the appearance of their neighborhood school, and with the environment fur learning it provided. Both were given a B grade. Certificated staff members were less positive. They gave the marginally satisfactory grade of $B=$ to the appearance of the school. They were neutral about the learning environment created by their school, giving it a C+. Certificated staffs' opinions fell in between those held by parents and classified staff members. Comparisons of Principals and Classroom Teachers

Principals and classroom teachers had differing views about program strengths and about the value of instructional support provided. They shared views on the aims of the educational process.

Quality of the program. Principals viewed most aspects of LAUSD's program as good, while teachers viewed them as somewhere between good and fair (Table 6.3). Both teachers and principals viewed their own schools' programs more favorably than the district's. While most differences of opinion between teachers and principals were statistically significant, some represented bigger divergences than others. Teachers and principals were closest in their assessment of broad issues, ech as the quality of the program and its ability to prepare students for the future. The divergences grew with questions pertaining to the ability of the program to meet unique needs. The greatest
discrepancy was in judgments of the way the school was administered. (principals gave an $A$ - and teachers a $B-$ ) and student behavior (principals gave a $B$ and teachers a C).

Teaching. Teachers and principals agreed that it is very important to teach generalizable academic skills, such as good work habits, speaking and writing skills, and analytical thinking (all As). The practical skill of learning to make realistic postgraduation plans was viewed as less important, but important nonetheless (A-). The only disagreement was in the importance of teaching art appreciation. Teachers gave it a $B$ and principals an $A$. Principals took a broader view of the schools' educational goals.

Instructional support. Teachers were less satisfied with the instructional support they received than principals were with the instructional support they gave (Table 6.4). The biggest difference was in each group's views of the principal as an instructional leader (principals gave themselves an $A=$ and teachers, a B). Even so, of the sources of support available to them, teachers were most satisfied with their principals. There were big discrepancies in how the two groups rated their satisfaction with staff development and Region/Division support. There were also big differences in their satisfaction with their school campuses, and with the environment for learning created for them. In all cases, principals were more satisfied than teachers. This pattern of findings indicated that both teachers and principals viewed instructional support as the responsibility of administration, especially of the local administrator-the principal.

Superior teaching. Teachers felt that the inservices and instructional support offered by principals were both important ways of fostering superior teaching (on the importance scale, each was rated B). Principals agreed, but more strongly. They considered these to be very important sources of aid (rated $A-$ and $A$, respectively). There was a basic disagreement between teachers and principals in how useful classroom visits by principals were.

Teachers regarded classroom visits as neither helpful nor a hindrance $(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{f})$, while principals considered these visits very helpful (A-).

Table 6.1
Quality of the Programi Comparisons of Parents' and Staffs' Opinions

|  |  |  | Classified <br> Staff | Certificated <br> Staff |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Program Items |  |  |

Note. Scores ranged from 1 to 5 , with $5.0=A, 4.0=B, 3.0=C, 2.0=D$, and $1.0=F$.

Table 6.2
Teaching Selected Skills: Comparisons of Parents' and Certificated Staffs'
Importance Ratings

| Selected Skills | Parents |  | Certificated Staff |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Grade | Mean | Grade |
| Developing good work habits (the ability to organize thoughts, to concentrate, and to complete the task | 4.5 | A- | 4.9 | A |
| Thinking analytically | 4.4 | B+ | 4.7 | A- |
| Speaking and writing correctly | 4.6 | A- | 4.8 | A |
| Making realistic plans for what to do after high school graduation | 4.4 | B+ | 4.5 | A- |
| Appreciating and participating in the arts, music, literature, theater, etc. | 3.9 | B | 4.4 | B+ |

Note. Scores ranged from 1 to 5 , with $5.0 \equiv \mathrm{~A}, 4.0 \equiv \mathrm{~B}, 3.0=\mathrm{C}, 2.0=\mathrm{D}$, and $1.0=F$.

Table 6.3
Quality of the Program: Comparisons of Classroom Teachers' and Principals'
Opinions

| Program Item | Classroom Teachers |  | Principals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Grade | Mean | Grade |
| The quality of the instructional program in the district | 3.6 | B- | 4.0 | B |
| The district's instructional program meets the needs of students from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds | 3.5 | C+ | 3.9 | B |
| The district's instructional program meets the needs of students with differing academic abilities | 3.2 | C | 3.8 | B |
| The quality of the instructional program in your school | 3.8 | B | 4.3 | B+ |
| Books and instructional miaterials | 3.7 | B- | 4.2 | B |
| The way the school is administered | 3.7 | B- | 4.7 | A- |
| Behavior of students | 3.2 | C | 4.1 | B |
| Preparing students for jobs if they are not planning to go to college | 3.3 | C+ | 3.9 | B |
| Preparing students for college | 3.7 | $B=$ | 4.1 | B |

Note. Scores ranged from 1 to 5 , with $5.0=A, 4.0=B, 3.0=C .2 .0=D$, and $1.0=F$.

Tevte 6.
Insstetional susport: Comparisons of Classroom Teachers' and Principals'
Opanians

| Instrurtimal Support | Classroom Teachers |  | Principals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Grade | Mean | Grade |
| Staff development activities offered by the District | 3.3 | C+ | 3.9 | B |
| Instructional support provided by your Administrative Region/ Division office | 3.2 | C | 4.2 | B |
| Your principal as an instructional leader | 3.8 | B | 4.7 | A- |
| The usefulness of required record keeping | 3.7 | C- | 3.2 | C |
| The appearance of the school buildings and grounds | 3.4 | C+ | 4.0 | B |
| The school environment encourages learning | 3.3 | C+ | 4.4 | B+ |

Note. Scores ranged from 1 to 5 , with $5.0 \equiv \mathrm{~A}, 4.0=\mathrm{B}, 3.0 \equiv \mathrm{C}, 2.0=\mathrm{D}$, and $1.0=F$.

COMPARISON OF LAUSD BASIC ACTIVITIES SURVEYS WITE툳 NATIONAL POLLS

Comparisons of the 1985 BAswith the 17th Annlal Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa (PDK) and National Education Association (NEA) Polls indicated:

- LAUSD parents gave higher grades to the district's schools and to their children's schools than diel public school parents nationally.
- Both LAUSD parents and public school parentes in the national survey rated the school their children ale ttended higher than the community or district schools.
- LAUSD parents rated the teaching in their $\in$ hildren's school higher than did the parents in the national survey.
- Public school parents in the national surve=y identified the biggest school problems as:
- lack of discipline
- students' use of drugs
= difficult; getting new teachers
- poor curriculum.
- The biggest problems identified by IAUSD pa rents were:
- parents' lack of interest
- students' lack of interest
- lack of discipline
- lack of proper financial support.
- LAUSD certificated and classified staff to a greater degree than the general public, favored the idea of permitting four year old children to attend prekindergarten classes.

Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa and National Education Association Polls
In spring 1985, the Gallup Organization conducted two polls focused on education:

- The 17th Annual Gallup Poll of the "Public's Att亩 tudes Toward the Public Schools" was funded and published by PDK.
- A telephone survey of "Attitudes Toward the Teacłing Profession" was conducted for NEA.

Thae writers designed the surveys to provide information about the public's opinion of current educational issues. Severai Gallup Poll questions resembled items in the LAUSD Basic Activities Surveys. Comparisons of similar items are discussed in this section.

LAUSD Parents vs. Gallup/Pii Delta Kappa Public School Parents
LAUSD parents are more positive about their schools and teachers than the neetional sample of public school parents. When rating the quality of community/district schools, $61 \%$ of LAUSD and only $52 \%$ of the national sample $\mathrm{a} s$ signed "A" and "B" grades. Both samples rated the schools their children at tended more favorably than they rated the community or district's schools. Thee sample scores were extremely close with LAUSD $72 \%$ and Gallup Poll 71 \% (Table 7.1).

Most LAUSD parents (74\%) are pleased with their children's teachers. By comparison, $68 \%$ of the Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa Poll public school parents gave thee teachers in their children's schools "A" or "B" grades.

Sixty-nine percent of the parents of LAUSD elementary school pupils and 65\% of the parents of LAUSD high school students responded positively to a question concerning the amount of homework given. Overal1, 67\% of LAUSD pace rents felt the amount of homework assigned to pupils appeared appropriate. Wheen the Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa Poll asked parents if students should be as signed more homework, $48 \%$ of the respondents felt elementary and $37 \%$ felt hi gh school students received an apropriate amount of homework. In the na tional pol1, $45 \%$ of the elementary and $49 \%$ of the high school parents felt ch ildren should be assigned more homework.

In the Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa Poll, public school parents specified the bi ggest problems with their community public schools were:
－Lack of cuiscipline（25\％）
－Students use of drugs（20\％）
－Difficult y getting good teachers（12\％）
－Poor curr－jculum（11\％）
LAUSD parents incicated that lack of interest amoryg parents（19\％）and students （13青）were the bi高gest problems facing the school district．LAUSD parents agred with the mational survey（but not as stronegly）that lack of discipline （8\％）and student 5 ，use of drugs（10\％）were large concerns（ranked 3rd and 4th）．Parents in the national sample felt the difeficulty obtaining good teachers（12\％）anizd a poor curriculum（11\％）were man jor problems（ranked 3rd and $4 \mathrm{th})$ ，LAUSD parents，however，indiated these issues were not major concerns in this district．Less than $5 \%$ of the parents 1 isted these as problems．Both samples indicated＝lack of financialsupport and lienge schools and overcrowding weremajor problezms．Students＇lack of interest deleared to be a unique LAUSD problem as LAUSD parents rated it number 2 and the nation＇s parents rated it number 8 （Table $7-2$ ）．

LAUSD Certificate－d and Classified staff vs．Gallupe／NEA Public Opinion Poll
The benefits of early childhoodeducation hav ee been debated in both public and educat－ional sectors for the past 3 deca des．Those most closely assoliated with enducation（certificated and classi fied staff）favor the idea of parmitting 4 y ar old children toattend prekin＝dergarten classes to a greater degree then the general public．Prekinder：garten classes for 4 year o1d children was $\Xi$ pproved by $70 \%$ of the LAUSD cert ificated staff and $75 \%$ of the classified st引ff．Disapproval was indicated $b=18 \%$ and $16 \%$ respectively． The public in the Gallup／NEA poll werg almost even 7 y divided with $50 \%$ favoring ard $4 \%$ opposing Frekindergarten $c l a s s e s ~(T a b l e ~ 7 . Z 3) . ~$

Table 7.1
Comparison of LAUSD Parent Opinions with Parents in Gallup/PDK Survey

| Item | Grade |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | C | \% | F |
| Community Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gallup Poll: Quality of public schools in community | 8 | 44 | 33 | 9 | 4 |
| LAUSD BAS: Quality of the District's instructional program | 19 | 42 | 26 | 4 | 2 |
| School child attends |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gallup Poll: Grade given school child attends | 23 | 48 | 19 | 5 | 2 |
| LAUSD BAS: Quality of instructional program in child's school | 27 | 45 | 21 | 3 | 1 |
| Teaching |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gallup Poll: Grade for teachers in their child's schoo 1 | 22 | 46 | 21 | 5 | 2 |
| LAUSD BAS: Teaching at their child's school | 31 | 43 | 19 | 3 | 2 |

[^1]Table 7.2
Comparison of :AUSD Parent Opinions with Other Public School Parent Opinions
About the Biggest Problems Facing Their Community Schools

|  | Gallup/Phi Delta <br> Kappa Poll | 1985 LAUSD Basic <br> Activities Surve |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Problem |  |  |
| Lack of discipline | 25 | $8 \%$ |
| Students' use of drugs | 20 | 10 |
| Difficulty getting good teachers | 12 | 4 |
| Poor curriculum | 2 |  |
| Lack of proper financial support | 11 | 8 |
| Large schools overcrowding | 9 | 7 |
| Teachers' lack of interest | 7 | 3 |
| Students' lack of interest | 6 | 13 |
| Mismanagement of funds | 4 | 1 |
| There are no problems | 4 | 5 |
| Parents' lack of interest | 4 | 19 |
| Lack of needed teachers | 3 | 1 |
| Low teacher salaries | 3 | 2 |
| Lack of respect for teachers | 2 | 3 |
| Crime/vandalism | 2 | 4 |
| Fighting | 2 | 2 |
| Problems with administration | 2 | 2 |
| School board policies | 1 | 1 |
| Pupil dropout rate | 1 | 2 |
| Lack of public support | -- | 2 |

Table 7.3

Comparison of - LAUSD Staff Opinions with the Gallup/NEA Poll About Pernitting $4=$ Year Qld Children to Attend Prekindergarten Classes
Group
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## CHAPTER VIII

## SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary
Certificated staff, classified staff, and parents rated their schools' programs more favorably than the district's programs. Parents rated the district program more $h$ ighly than certificated or classified staff. Parents and certificated staff agreed on the importance of teaching general academic skills. Certificated $s$ taff and classified staff strongly approved upgraded standards for homework, attendance, and discipline; permitting yaung cfildren to attend prekindergart en classes at age 4; and offering summer school atendance as an option to retention in elementary schools. Teachers were only moderately satisfied with the instructional support they received from administrators and the district/region.

In the certificated follow-up survey, staff viewed the strong curriculum and staff as the district's strengths. Staff dissatisfied with the district's program cited lack of e ヨassroom support from administrators, lack of adequate materials, and a narrow $\exists y$ focused curriculum as the major problems. The certificuted staff felt the program would be improved with increased administrative and instructional support for teachers as well as reduced class sizes. Certificated staff judged most inservices they attended as effective (82\%). The majority of the staff development sessions were school sponsored (56\%) with $73 \%$ or more Sudged effective. Certificated staff preferred hands on inservices with direct classroom applications related to their subject area. Certificated sta Ef ( $74 \%$ ) felt required to complete an excessive amount of paperwork. Grades and attendance records were viewed as the only essential record keeping activities. Surveys were viewed as unnecessary paperwork.

Classified staff indicated schools were effective in: keeping each student aware of his/her academic progress and acknowledging student accomplishments. Classified staff were least supportive of district staff development, student behavior, and preparation of non-college bound students for jobs. Parents approved of the way the district informed them of their children's progress. Parents most frequently chose "parents' iack of intersst" as the greatest problem facing community schools.

Comparisons of the 1985 Parent BAS with the 17th Annual Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa and National Education Association Polls indicated, LAUSD parents gave higher grades to the district's schools and to their children's schools than public school parents nationally. Both LAUSD parents and public school parents in the national survey rated the school their children attended higher than the community or district schools. LAUSD parents rated the teaching in their children's schools higher than the parents in the national survey. LAUSD certificated and classified staff favored the idea of permitting four year old children to attend prekindergarten classes to a greater degree than the general public.

## Recommendations

The following recommendations are suggested for continued district growth and improvement as a result of the opinions expressed by its staff and parents in the Basic Activities Suryeys.

- Staff development activities for classified staff were poorly rated. Classified staff should be surveyed to determine their staff development needs, and classes presented to fulfill these needs. Staff development activities should be publicized so classified staff are aware of the classes offered and their purposes.
- The 1985 BAS indicated that parent, teacher, and student interest are major problems. Methods to increase interest, participation, and motivation are needed.
- Certificated staff expressed concern about effectively meeting the range of learning needs in their classes. Specifically, teachers requested supplies, access to new teaching ideas, and curriculum and materials for children with either extremely low or high ability. The need to teach more than the basics should be examined.
- The paradox of district staff describing their own school as good and the distict as only fair still exists. The need to inform staff about outstanding instructional efforts throughout district schools continues.
- New teachers were greatly dissatisfied with the mentor teacher program. The state requirements, purpose, goals and district implementation of the mentor teacher program should be examined. The program should be thoroughly evaluated and modified to provide maximum benefits for the district.
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## Certificated Staff Responses, Form W

Itenा
Frequeñcy and Percentatag by Scale Position

Median N

$\stackrel{49}{8}$

A(5)
$\frac{D k^{\prime}}{f}$

Staff development activities offered by the district (1984)*

Instructional support provided by your Administratit ve Region/Division office
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\text { Your principal as an instructional leader (1984)* } & 4 & 3,174 & 246 & 8 & 310 & 10 & 539 & 17 & 956 & 31 & 1,058 & 34 & 65\end{array}$
The appearannce of the school buildings and grounds $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { The school environment encourdges learning } & 4 & 3,204 & 194 & 6 & 513 & 16 & 616 & 19 & 1,296 & 41 & 573 & 18 & 12\end{array}$ Note. Instructions asked respondents to grade each item with $A \cdot B \cdot C-C D-F$. The following scale was used: $A=$ very satisfied, $\bar{B}=$ satisfied, $C=$ neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, $D=$ dissatisfied, $F=$ very dissatisfied, and $D K=$ don't know (not included in the percentages). Maximum $N=3,230, \quad$ Ittems included in previous Basic Activities surveys (BAs).

Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position

Median N

$43,200 \quad 84$|  | 3 | 395 | $9 \%$ | 1,058 | $34 \%$ | 1,423 | 464 | 232 | $8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}3 & 3,205 & 143 & 5 & 437 & 14 & 999 & 32 & 1,223 & 40 & 288 & 9 \\ 115\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}3,196 & 201 & 6 & 613 & 20 & 990 & 32 & 1,075 & 35 & 230 & 7 \\ 87\end{array}$ the needs of students with differing academíc abilities (1983)*

School grade
The quality of the instructional prograin in your school

Books and instructional materials
The way the school is administered
Behiaviór of students
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4 & 3,193 & 66 & 2 & 204 & 7 & 651 & 21 & 1,525 & 48 & 708 & 22 & 39\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}4 & 3,208 & 135 & 4 & 398 & 13 & 843 & 27 & 1,301 & 41 & 485 & 15\end{array} \quad 46$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4 & 3,197 & 234 & 9 & 305 & 10 & 576 & 18 & 1,130 & 36 & 934 & 29 & 18\end{array}$ $33,206 \quad 284 \quad 9 \quad 585$

Preparing students for jobos if they are not planning to go to college (secondary staff. only) $3 \begin{array}{llllllllllllll} & 1,499 & 170 & 12 & 326 & 24 & 502 & 37 & 305 & 22 & 75 & 5 & 113\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Preparing students for college (secondary only) } & 3 & 1,434 & 80 & 6 & 175 & 13 & 431 & 32 & 518 & 39 & 139 & 10\end{array}$

Note. Instructions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B-C=D=F$. The following scale was used: $A=$ exceilent, $B=$ good, $C=$ fair, $D=$ poor, $F=$ very poor, and $D K=$ don't know (not included in the percentages), Maximum $\mathbb{N}=3,230$.

|  | Median N |  |  | Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{f}{F(1)}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{f}(2)}$ |  | $\stackrel{C(3)}{f}$ |  | $\underline{f}^{\underline{B(4)}} \bar{q}$ |  | $\stackrel{A(5)}{f}$ |  | $\frac{D K}{f}$ |
| continuum or course outline for your and/or grade level (1984)* | 5 |  | 3,090 | 28 | 1\% | 66 | 2\% | 259 | $9 \%$ | 1,139 | 38\% | 1,515 | 50\% | 83 |
| ework | 5 |  | 3,080 | 48 | 2 | 76 | 2 | 261 | 9 | 703 | 23 | 1,920 | 64 | 72 |
| d/or discuss effective instructional es with other teachers (1984)* | 4 |  | 3,122 | 46 | ? | 183 | 6 | 691 | 22 | 1,143 | 37 | 1,014 | 33 | 45 |
| ents for instruction and regroup d to meet each student's needs, <br> s, interests, and ways of learning |  |  | 3,109 | 85 | 3 | 179 | 6 | 502 | 17 |  | 31 | 1,333 | 43 | 58 |

ructions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B-C-D-F$. The following scale was used: $A=$ frequently, $B=$ often, onally, $D=$ seldom, $F=$ never, and $D K=$ don't know (not included in the percentages). Maximum $\underline{N}=3,230$. *ltems n previous BAS.

|  | Median N |  | Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\frac{F(1)}{\text { for }}$ |  | $\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{D}(2)}$ |  | $\frac{C(3)}{f}$ |  | $\underline{f^{B(4)}}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{DK}}{f}$ |
| tandards (guidelines) for homework, ce, and discipline (1984)* | 5 | 3,174 | 31 | 1\% | 77 | 2\% | 260 | $8 \%$ | 958 | 31\% | 1,809 | 58\% | 39 |
| young children to attend rgarten classes at age 4 | 4 | 3,172 | 228 | 8 | 286 | 10 | 372 | 12 | 758 | 25 | 1,337 | 45 | 191 |
| children to attend full-day rten classes | 3 | 3,170 | 383 | 13 | 690 | 23 | 515 | 18 | 603 | 20 | 770 | 26 | 209 |
| urmer school attendance as an 0 retention (elementary staff only) | 4 | 1,938 | 81 | 4 | 178 | 10 | 209 | 11 | 553 | 29 | 862 | 46 | 55 |

ructions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B-C-D-F$. The following scale was used: $A \equiv$ strongly approve, lat approve, $C=$ neither approve nor disapprove, $D \equiv$ somewhat disapprove, $F=$ strongly disapprove, and $D K=$ don't know Ided in percentages). Maximum $\underline{N}=3,230$. *Item included in previous BAS.

Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position

It en
Median $\mathbb{N} \frac{f(1)}{f} \frac{D(2)}{f}$
$\frac{f(3)}{f}$
$\underset{\underline{f}}{\frac{A(5)}{\square}}$

## Important to superior teaching

 1984)*

Instructional support provided by the principal (1984)*

Classroom visitations by principal
or other administrators (1983, 1984)* $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}4 & 3,192 & 198 & 6 & 351 & 11 & 828 & 26 & 1,079 & 34 & 710 & 23 \\ 26\end{array}$ ${ }^{\infty}$ Importance for students

Developing good work habits (the ability
to organize thoughts, to concentrate, and
to complete the task)
Thinking analytically
Speéaking and writing correctly
Making realistic plans for what to do after high school graduation

Appreciating and participating in the arts, music, literature, theater, etc.
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5 & 3,203 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 38 & 1 & 261 & 8 & 2,896 & 91 & 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5 & 3,192 & 2 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 15 & 2 & 661 & 21 & 2,436 & 77 & 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5 & 3,195 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 1 & 43 & 1 & 426 & 13 & 2,710 & 85 & 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}5 & 3,190 & 6 & 0 & 48 & 1 & 208 & 7 & 899 & 29 & 1,990 & 63\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5 & 3,197 & 12 & 0 & 33 & 1 & 231 & 7 & 1,171 & 37 & 1,734 & 55 & 16\end{array}$

Note. Instructions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B-C-D-F$. The following scale was used: $A=$ very important:, $B=$ important,$C=$ neither important nor unimportant, $D=$ unimportant, $F=$ very unimportant, and $D K=$ don't know (not included in the percentages). Maximum N $=3,230$, *Items included in previous BAS.
fable $F$
Certificated Staff Responses: Effective vs. Ineffective

Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position

Iten


Keeping each student aware of his/her
progress regard ing expected academic performance (1984)*

Finding ways to acknowledge student efforts and ạcomplishnents in academic, persomal, and social areas (1984)*

Providing instrustion and practice in written expression

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4 & 3,148 & 28 & 1 & 123 & 4 & 378 & 12 & 1,543 & 50 & 1,038 & 33 & 38\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}4 & 3,119 & 34 & 1 & 188 & 6 & 502 & 17 & 1,461 & 50 & 761 & 26 \\ 173\end{array}$

Note. Instructions asked respondents to grade each item with $A=8-C-0-F$. The following scale was used: $A=$ very effective, Q $=$ effective, $C=$ neither effective nor ineffective, $O=$ iniffective, $F=$ very ineffective, and $D K=$ don't know. Mexifuun $N=3,230$, *tems included in previous BAS.

Table G
Certificated Responses About Public Schools

| Item | f | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| What do you think is the |  |  |
| single biggest problem facing |  |  |
| the public schools? |  |  |
| Parents' lack of interest | 608 | 19 |
| Lack of money | 189 | 6 |
| Students lack of interest | 337 | 11 |
| Lack of discipline | 171 | 3 |
| Problems with administration | 108 | 1 |
| Poor curriculum | 17 | 0 |
| Students' use of drugs | 15 | 12 |
| Low teacher salaries | 385 | 5 |
| Difficulty getting good teachers | 166 | 1 |
| Large schools/overcrowding | 141 | 6 |
| Teachers' lack of interest | 21 | 5 |
| Lack of respect for teachers | 200 | 1 |
| Lack of public support | 155 | 1 |
| School board policies | 41 | 1 |
| Mismanagement of funds | 29 | 1 |
| Lack of needed teachers | 24 | 0 |
| Crime/vandalism | 21 | 1 |
| Fighting | 1 | 1 |
| Pupil dropout rate | 50 | 0 |
| There are no problems | 178 | 6 |
| Other |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Note. Instructions asked respondents to check one item.
Maximum $\underline{N}=3,230$.

|  | Median N |  | Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\frac{F(1)}{q}$ |  | $\frac{D(2)}{f}$ |  | $\underline{f}^{C(3)}$ |  | $\frac{B(4)}{f}$ |  | $\frac{A(5)}{f_{b}}$ |  | $\frac{\square K}{\text { f }}$ |
| ct's emphasis on basic skills 984 ) | 4 | 1,788 | 41 | $2 \%$ | 164 | 10\% | 328 | 20\% | 852 | 52\% | 260 | 16\% | 143 |
| lopment activities offered by rict (1984)* | 4 | 1,786 | 74 | 5 | 206 | 13 | 433 | 28 | 652 | 42 | 191 | 12 | 230 |
| to which your principal has adequately ed to you what is expected of you (1984), |  | 1,799 | 90 | 5 | 136 | 8 | 267 | 15 | 645 | 37 | 600 | 35 | 61 |
| ance of the school buildings and grounds | 4 | 1,811 | 92 | 5 | 194 | 11 | 224 | 13 | 776 | 43 | 506 | 28 | 19 |
| ness of required record keeping | 4 | $1,774$ | 45 | 3 | 128 | 8 | 315 | 19 | 804 | 50 | 321 | 20 | 161 |
| environment encourages learning | 4 | 1,800 | 59 | 4 | 176 | 10 | 343 | 20 | 737 | 43 | 398 | 23 | 87 |

ructions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B-\bar{C}-D-F$. The following scāle was used: $A \equiv$ very satisfied, ied, $C=$ neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, $D=$ dissatisfied, $F=$ very dissatisfied, and $D K=$ don't know (not included in
5). Maximum $\underline{N}=1,849$. $\quad$ Item included in previous BAS.
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Staff Responses: Report Card Grades

Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position

ade
ty of the instructional program in trict
-ict's instructional program meets the if students from diverse ettinic and backgrounds (1983)*
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4.0 & 1,796 & 32 & 2 \% & 125 & 8 \% & 505 & 31 \% & 764 & 47 \% & 185 & 12 \% & 185\end{array}$
-ict's instructional program meetts the f students with differing academic es (1983)*
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4.0 & 1,781 & 59 & 4 & 208 & 13 & 472 & 29 & 655 & 41 & 205 & 13 & 182\end{array}$
ty of the instructional program in your

| 4.0 | 1,786 | 26 | 1 | 99 | 6 | 377 | 23 | 796 | 48 | 371 | 22 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4.0 | 1,800 | 73 | 4 | 124 | 7 | 336 | 19 | 675 | 39 | 538 | 31 |
| 3.0 | 1,809 | 170 | 10 | 267 | 15 | 590 | 33 | 650 | 36 | 104 | 6 |
| 3.0 | 842 | 61 | 10 | 102 | 16 | 167 | 27 | 229 | 36 | 68 | 11 |
| 3.5 | 797 | 49 | 8 | 90 | 15 | 157 | 27 | 219 | 37 | 77 | 13 |

-uctions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B-C-D-\bar{F}$. Parents used the scale: $A=$ excellent, $B=$ good, $C=$ fair, $\bar{\equiv} \equiv$ very poor, and $0 K=$ don't know (not included in percentages). Maximum $\underset{N}{\mathbb{N}} \equiv 1,849 . \quad$ I tems included in previous BAS.

|  | Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median N | $\underset{\sim}{f(1)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{D}(2)}$ | $\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{C}(3)}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { f(4) }}$ | $\stackrel{A(5)}{\text { f }}$ | $\frac{\square \mathrm{DK}}{\frac{\mathrm{f}}{\text { f }}}$ |


| idards (guidelines) for homework, and discipline (1984)* | 4 | 1,792 | 40 | 2\% | 79 | 5\% | 194 | 12\% | 592 | $36 \%$ | 757 | 45\% | 130 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ung children to attend prekindergarten age 4 | 4 | 1,807 | 95 | 6 | 167 | 10 | 165 | 9 | 474 | 27 | 820 | 48 | 86 |
| ildren to attend full-day n classes | 3 | 1,795 | 148 | 9 | 415 | 24 | 292 | 17 | 406 | 24 | 445 | 26 | 89 |
| er school attendance as an option n (Elementary staff only) | 4 | 1,421 | 44 | 3 | 111 | 8 | 135 | 11 | 475 | 36 | 563 | 42 | 83 |

tions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B=C=D-F$. The following scale used: $A=$ strongly approve, $B \equiv$ ove, $C \equiv$ neither approve nor disapprove, $D \cong$ somewhat disapprove, $F \equiv$ strongly disapprove, and $D K=$ don't know (not he percentages). Maximum $\underline{N}=1,849$. *Item included in previous BAS.

Staff Responses: Important vs. Unimportant

Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position

|  |  | F(1) | $0(2)$ | C(3) | $B(4)$ | $A(5)$ | $\frac{D K}{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median | N | f | f | f ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | f 奢 | f | f |

## to superior teaching

| ional materials (1984)* | 5 | 1,785 | 10 | 1\% | 11 | 1\% | 74 | 4\% | 511 | 30\% | 1,109 | 64\% | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| assignments (1984)* | 5 | 1,801 | 16 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 78 | 4 | 579 | 33 | 1,049 | 61 | 66 |
| ' attitudes toward students (1984)* | 5 | 1,803 | 21 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 54 | 3 | 286 | 16 | 1,371 | 79 | 59 |
| programs for teachers (1984)* | 5 | 1,787 | 13 | 1 | 30 | 2 | 145 | 8 | 629 | 38 | 849 | 51 | 121 |
| ional support provided by the principal | 5 | 1,783 | 24 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 100 | 6 | 428 | 25 | 1,136 | 67 | 78 |
| to district's instructional program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| support to the instructional program 1984 )* | 5 | 1,781 | 16 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 112 | 7 | 602 | 36 | 932 | 55 | 93 |
| ing a good environment (1983, 1984)* | 5 | 1,793 | 14 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 74 | 4 | 537 | 30 | 1,115 | 64 | 42 |
| standards of good behavior (1983, 1984)* | 5 | 1,797 | 19 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 62 | 3 | 394 | 23 | 1,266 | 72 | 45 |
| g support to students (1983, 1984)* | 5 | 1,790 | 14 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 69 | 4 | 421 | 24 | 1,228 | 70 | 43 |

ructions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B-C=\bar{C}=\bar{F}$. The following scale was used: $A=$ very important, $B \equiv$ $\mathrm{C}=$ neither important nor unimportant, $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=$ unimportant; $\bar{F}=$ very unimportant; and $D K \equiv$ don't know (not included in 5. Maximum $N=1,849$. *itemis included in previous BAS.

```
taff Responses: Effective us. Ineffective
```

| student aware of his/her progress cademic performance (1984)* | 1,178 | 25 | E\% | 80 | 5\% | 223 | 14\% | 755 | 48\% | 486 | 31\% | 159 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to acknowledge student efforts ishments in academic, personal, areas (1984)* | 1,700 | 31 | $\stackrel{s}{ }$ | 77 | 5 | 225 | 15 | 742 | 48 | 461 | 30 | 164 |

tions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B=C-D=F$, The following scale used: $A=$ very effective, $B=$ somewhat $\equiv$ neither effective nor ineffective, $D \equiv$ somemt ineffectivere, $F \equiv$ very ineffective, and $D K=$ don't know (not included in Maximum $\underset{N}{N} \equiv 1,849$. *Items included in previous BAS.

Table M
Classified Staff Reesponses About Public Schools

| Iten | $\underline{f}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What is the single biggest problem facing the schools in yevur community? |  |  |
| Parents' lack of interest | 593 | 33 |
| lack of money | 143 | 8 |
| Students' lack otaf interest | 157 | 9 |
| lack of disciplime | 221 | 12 |
| Problems with admeninistration | 41 | 2 |
| poor curriculum | 10 | 1 |
| Students' use of drugs | 51 | 3 |
| Low teacher salar=ies | 24 | 1 |
| Difficulty gettitag good teachers | 110 | 6 |
| Large schoois/overcrowding | 81 | 4 |
| Teachers' lack ofe interest | 303 | 17 |
| Lack of respect Efor teachers | 17 | 1 |
| lack of public stelpport | 12 | 1 |
| School board poitix cies | 3 | 0 |
| Mismanagement of funds | 9 | 0 |
| lack of needed texachers | 1 | 0 |
| Crime/vandalism | 13 | 1 |
| Fighting | 2 | 1 |
| Pupil dropout ratee | 5 | 0 |
| There are no protelems Other | 4 | 0 |

Note. Instructions asked respondents to check one item in eachsection.
Maxinum $\underline{N}=1,84 \leftrightarrows$.
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## Parent Respōnses: Good vs. Poor

| I tem | Median | ก | Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\frac{F(1)}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{\mathrm{D}(2)}{\underline{3}}$ |  | $\frac{C(3)}{t}$ |  | $\underline{E}$ |  | $\frac{A(5)}{4}$ |  | $\frac{D K}{\underline{f}}$ |
| Oistrict grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The way the district's instructional program meets the needs of students from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds | 4 | 10,078 | 155 | 27 | 345 | $4 \%$ | 2,367 | 267 | 4,090 | $46 \pm$ | 1,985 | $22^{2}$ | 1.139 |
| The way the district's instructional program meets the needs of students with differing academic abilities | 4 | 10,076 | 173 | 2 | 452 | 5 | 2,360 | 25 | 4.211 | 46 | 2,058 | 22 | 822 |
| The district's requirements for a student to get a high school diplama (1983)* | 4 | 10,018 | 165 | 2 | 341 | 4 | 1,737 | 19 | 3,527 | 40 | 3,080 | 35 | 1.168 |
| The district's current emphasis on learning basic skills (reading, Writing, English, and mathematics) (1983; 1984)* | 4 | 10,070 | 153 | 2 | 390 | 4 | 1.891 | 19 | 3,894 | 40 | 3,478 | 35 | 262 |
| The quality of the instructional program in the district (1984)* | 4 | 9,879 | 189 | 2 | 448 | 5 | 2,553 | 28 | 4,122 | 45 | 1,897 | 20 | 670 |
| School grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The quality of the instructional program in your child's sehool. | 4 | 10,058 | 119 | 1 | 336 | 4 | 2,093 | 21 | 4,528 | 46 | 2,717 | 28 | 275 |
| The amount of homework given (1983, 1984) | 4 | 10,133 | 250 | 3 | 639 | 6 | 2.388 | 24 | 4,040 | 40 | 2.671 | 27 | 145 |
| The help your child gets in leárining Engilish (if you speak another language at home) (1983, 1984)* | 4 | 8,960 | 150 | 2 | 300 | 4 | 1.418 | 19 | 2,911 | 39 | 2.739 | 36 | 1,342 |
| The amount of information you get about your child's progress in school (nōtes, report cards, conferences, and phone calis) (1983, 1984) | 4 | 10,132 | 228 | 2 | 502 | 5 | 1,799 | 18 | 3.556 | 36 | 3.914 | 39 | 133 |
| The teaching at your child's school (1983. 1984) * | 4 | 10,051 | 148 | 1 | 341 | 4 | 1,906 | 19 | 4; 328 | 44 | 3,132 | 32 | 196 |
| Preparing students for jobs if they are not planning to go to college (1984)* | 4 | 9.810 | 386 | $\underline{\square}$ | 692 | 10 | 1,941 | 28 | 2,500 | 36 | 1,379 | 20 | 2,912 |
| The avallabllity of teachers for conferences to discuss your child's school progress (1984)* | 4 | 10,133 | 187 | 2 | 383 | 4 | 1;894 | 20 | 3,829 | 40 | 3,274 | 34 | 566 |
| The information provided on sehool report cards about your cihild's academic achlevement. work habits; and citizenship (1984)* | 4 | 10,108 | 113 | 1 | 294 | 3 | 1,642 | 17 | 4,116 | 42 | 3.648 | 37 | 295 |
| Preparing students for college | 4 | 9,867 | 203 | 3 | 483 | 6 | 1,800 | 23 | 3,025 | 39 | 2,273 | 29 | 2,083 |
| Books and instructional materials | 4 | 10,089 | 181 | 2 | 405 | 4 | 2;006 | 21 | 4. 342 | 45 | 2,770 | 29 | 385 |
| Behavior of students (1984)* | 4 | 10,131 | 444 | 5 | 715 | 7 | 3,050 | 32 | 3,670 | 39 | 1,644 | 17 | 608 |



Frequency and Percentage by Scale Fosition

Median N

$\mathrm{f}^{\underline{\underline{C}(3)}} \quad \underline{\underline{B}(4)}$
$\frac{A(5)}{f} \quad \frac{\overline{D K}}{\underline{f}}$
good work habits (the ability to choughts, to concentrate, and to the task
lytically (logically)
writing correctly
istic plans for what to do after 1 graduation

9 and participating in the arts, Eerature, theater, etc

| 5 | 10,189 | 44 | $0 \%$ | 97 | $1 \%$ | 657 | 78 | 2,821 | $28 \%$ | 6,380 | $64 \%$ | 190 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 10,089 | 52 | 0 | 145 | 2 | 861 | 9 | 3,794 | 39 | 4,914 | 50 | 323 |
| 5 | 10,105 | 64 | 1 | 128 | 1 | 652 | 6 | 2,462 | 25 | 6,716 | 67 | 83 |
| 5 | 10,089 | 55 | 0 | 167 | 2 | 782 | 8 | 2,991 | 32 | 5,419 | 58 | 675 |
| 4 | 10,086 | 110 | 1 | 296 | 3 | 2,09322 | 4,201 | 43 | 3,003 | 31 | 383 |  |
| 4 | 9,873 | 263 | 3 | 610 | 7 | 1,99523 | 3,048 | 36 | 2,623 | 31 | 1,334 |  |
|  | 9,962 | 250 | 3 | 582 | 6 | 1,81721 | 3,550 | 40 | 2,617 | 30 | 1,146 |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 9,942 | 121 | 1 | 289 | 3 | 1,28014 | 3,995 | 44 | 3,420 | 38 | 837 |  |
| 4 | 9,790 | 473 | 6 | 749 | 9 | 1,847 | 24 | 2,941 | 38 | 1,814 | 23 | 1,966 |
| 4 | 9,772 | 419 | 5 | 646 | 8 | 1,67321 | 3,138 | 40 | 1,947 | 25 | 1,949 |  |

uctions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B-C-D-F-D K$. Parents used this scale: $A=$ very important, $B=$ $E=$ neither important nor unimportant, $D=$ uñimportant, $F \equiv$ very unimportant, and $D K \equiv$ don't know. Maximum $N=10,500$.

Table P
Parent Responses About Community and District Schools


What do you think is the single best part
of the schuols in your community? (1984)*

| Quality of education | 2,877 | 30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Teachers | 1,386 | 14 |
| Curriculum | 929 | 10 |
| Communication with parents | 1,238 | 13 |
| Discipline | 517 | 5 |
| Location | 1,106 | 11 |
| Variety of programs | 923 | 10 |
| Extracurricular activities | 481 | 5 |
| Other | 149 | 2 |

Note. Instructions asked respondents to check one item in each section.
Maximum $\underline{N}=10,500$. *Items included in previous BAS.
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|  | Median N |  | Frequency and Percentage by Scale Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\frac{F(1)}{f}$ |  | $\frac{\mathrm{D}(2)}{\frac{p}{8}}$ |  | $\frac{c(3)}{f}$ | $\frac{B(4)}{f}$ |  | $\frac{A(5)}{\frac{f}{b}}$ | $\frac{\underline{D K}}{\underline{f}}$ |  |
| nce of the school buildings and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 9,958 | 209 | 2\% | 368 | 4\% | 1,392 14\% | 4,924 | 51\% | 2,855 | 29\% | 210 |
| environment encourages learning | 4 | 9,884 | 237 | 3 | 494 | 5 | 1,615 17 | 4,524 | 47 | 2,687 | 28 | 327 |

uctions asked respondents to grade each item with $A-B-C-D-F-D K$. Parents used this scale: $A \equiv$ very satisfied, ed, $C=$ neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, $D \equiv$ dissatisfied, $F \equiv$ very dissatisfied, and $D K \equiv$ don't know. 10,500 .
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## APPENDIX B <br> Sample Selection

A stratified random sample of schools provided participants for the certificated and classified surveys. To draw the stratified random sample, first the population (LAUSD's schools) was divided into strata (groups) and then the elements (schools) within each stratum were randomly sampled. Each stratum was proportionately represented in the sample.

Selecting the certificated BAS sample involved the following steps: (a) preparing a list of the district's certificated staff by school type and region, (b) determining the sample size needed to achieve a specific statistical confidence level, (c) determining the proportion and number of sample participants falling into each stratum, and (d) randomly selecting schools within each stratum until the predetermined sample size (quota) was reached.

Tables $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C illustrate the steps taken to construct the certificated BAS sample. Table A shows the distribution of the district's 25,347 certificated staff across the six types of schools. Apportionment for the certificated survey was determined by multiplying the percentage of the total district staff by the target number of 3,557 . Table B contains the number and percentage of district schools stratified by administrative region and division. In Table $C$ the projected numbers of certificated staff for each of the strata are listed.

Schools within each stratum were randomly selected and placed in the sample until the projected number of certificated staff for each stratum was reached. The 1985 certificated 9 AS sample contained 146 schools; 62 of these schools also participated in the 1984 BAS (Tables D and E). Including these subsample participants in two successive surveys allowed follow up comparisons. Half of the subsample schools completed the 1985 rating scale

BAS. The other half completed the follow-up, open-ended questionnaire. The total sample included schools from all eight regions (geographic areas), levels (prekindergarten through grade 12), and types (schools of choice, elementary, junior high, senior high, opportunity, special education, and continuation).

The classified sample included all classified staff in schools selected for the certificated survey. The projected classified sample size was 3,236 (Table F). For the 1985 Parent BAS, a target number of 35,000 was selected. Parent survey apportionment was based on the pupil distribution.

From the certificated sample, one school of choice, one junior high school, and two elementary schools were randomly chosen from each region to participate in the parent survey. Special education, senior high, senior high magnet, opportunity, and continuation schools were randomly selected from the certificated sample until the apportionment number was reached. A total of 43 schools participated in the parent survey.

Sample Size and Sampling Error
When conducting a survey, the only way researchers can be $100 \%$ confident that survey findings actually represent the views of the population is to survey that population in its entirety. This is often difficult or impractical. Instead, researchers select a sample and confidence levels that indicate the probability that responses from the selected sample fall within the statistical confidence limits and therefore represent the total population. Generally, the higher the confidence level, the larger the sample size will be. A $99 \%$ confidence level with $2 \%$ sampling error was selected. This means the researcher is $99 \%$ confident the sample responses fall within plus or minus $2 \%$ of the total population's responses.

With a certificated population of $25,347,3,557$ forms were needed for a $99 \%$ confidence level with $2 \%$ sampling error. Only 3,230 forms were returned,
however, leaving the confidence level at $99 \%$ but increasing the sampling error to $3 \%$.

The parent sample of 10,500 from a 560,264 population far exceeded the number needed for a sampling error of $99 \%$ with $2 \%$ permissible error.

Table $R$
Apportionment of Certificated Staff Sample by School Type

| School Type | Distribution ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of District Certificated Staff | Percentage of Total District Staff | Apportionment ${ }^{\text {b }}$ for Certificated Survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schools of Choice ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 964 | 4\% | 142 |
| Elementary | 12,485 | 49 | 1,743 |
| Junior High | 5,624 | 22 | 783 |
| Senior/Opportunity HS | 5,608 | 22 | 782 |
| Continuation HS | 171 | 01 | 36 |
| Special Education | 495 | 02 | 71 |
| Tota 1 | 25,347 | 100 | 3,557 |

Note. Number of district personnel indicates full-time certificated staff.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data provided by Racial and Ethnic Survey, Fall 1984. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ A population of 25,000 requires a sample of 3,557 for $99 \%$ confidence level with $2 \%$ permissible error. ${ }^{\text {c Schools }}$ of Choice irclude alternative schools and magnet schools/centers.
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## d Percentage of District Schools by Type and by Region

| School Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schools of ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Choice N \% |  | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Elementary }}$ |  | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Junior }} \underset{\mathrm{K}}{\mathrm{High}}$ |  | Senior High \& Opportunity <br> N \% |  | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Continuation }}$ |  | Special <br> Education <br> N \% |  | $N^{\text {Total }}$ |  |
| ative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 8\% | 51 | 12\% | 9 | 12\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 | 10\% |
| 5 | 6 | 37 | 9 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 7 |
| 5 | 6 | 43 | 11 | 8 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 8 |
| 16 | 19 | 61 | 15 | 12 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 13 |
| 7 | 8 | 67 | 16 | 12 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 | 12 |
| 12 | 14 | 60 | 15 | 14 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 | 12 |
| 6 | 7 | 46 | 11 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 8 |
| 3 | 4 | 45 | 11 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 8 |
| 24 | $28 \%^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  | 61 | 59\% | 43 | 41\% |  |  | 128 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 100\% | 18 | 3 |
| 85 |  | 410 |  | 73 |  | 61 |  | 43 |  | 18 |  | 690 | $100 \%$ |

rools in the two divisions are not divided by region.
f Choice include alternative and magnet schools/centers. ${ }^{\text {Brepresents a percentage of the Schools of Choice. }}$ 146
ated Number of Certificated Staff Sampled in Survey
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll} & & \text { Staff Sample, by School Type } \\ \begin{array}{c}\text { Schools of } \\ \text { Choice }\end{array} & \text { Elementary } & \text { Junior High } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Senior High \& } \\ \text { Opportunity }\end{array} & \text { Continuation }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Special } \\ \text { Education }\end{array}\right]$

Schools of Choice include alternative and magnet schools/centers.
1 AO
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Table U
Certificated and Classified Survey Sample Schools 1984-85 Summary

| School Type | Schools <br> Included in <br> $1983-84$ Sample | Total Number ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> of 1984-85 <br> Sample Schools | Number of ${ }^{b}$ <br> Sa84-85 <br> Schomple |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schools of Choice | 5 | 19 | 5 |
| Elementary | 23 | 66 | 16 |
| Junior High | 0 | 11 | 4 |
| Senior High \& Opportunity | 2 | 10 | 3 |
| Sontinuation | 0 | 6 | 2 |
| Special Education | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Total | 31 | 115 | 31 |

Note. Schools of Choice include alternative schools and magnet schools/centers.
'Certificated and Classified staff completed 1985 BAS Form $W$ or Form X. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ A Subsample If 1983-84 BAS certificated staff completed a follow-up survey.
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Table V
Number of Schools in Certificated and Classified Survey Sample, by Type and by Region

| Group | School Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools of Choice | Elementary | Junior High | Senior High \& Opportunity | Continuation | Special <br> Education |
| Administrative Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 2 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |
| B | 3 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| C | 2 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |
| D | 3 | 11 | 2 |  |  |  |
| E | 2 | 13 | 2 |  |  |  |
| F | 1 | 13 | 2 |  |  |  |
| G | 1 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Division |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sr . High | 4 |  |  | 10 | 6 |  |
| Spec. Educ. |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Total 1 | 19 | 66 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 3 |

Note. Schools of Choice include both alternative schools, and magnet schools/centers.
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Table W
Number of Full and Part-time Classified Staff in 1984-85 Survey Sample, by School Type

| Group | School Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools of Choice | Elementary | Junior High | Senior High \& Opportunity | Continuation | Special <br> Education |
| Administrative Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 7 | 216 | 42 |  |  |  |
| B | 5 | 252 | 54 |  |  |  |
| c | 2 | 214 | 68 |  |  |  |
| D | 23 | 325 | 108 |  |  |  |
| E | 2 | 222 | 78 |  |  |  |
| F | 3 | 171 | 81 |  |  |  |
| G | 1 | 311 | 87 |  |  |  |
| H | 2 | 233 | 78 |  |  |  |
| Division |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sr. High 7 |  |  |  | 449 | 29 |  |
| Spec. Educ. |  |  |  |  |  | 165 |
| Tota | 52 | 1,945 | 596 | 449 | 29 | 165 |

ote. Schools of Choice include alternative schools and magnet schools/centers.

## 152

Appendix C

153


This is the third annual Basic Activities Survey conducted by the Research and Evaluation Branch of the Los Angeles Unified School District. The survey is designed to assess your opinions regarding the district's instructional program. A similar survey is also sent to a sample of ciassified staff and parents.
sehool's Survey Coordinator for return to the Research and Evaluation Branch.


Please circle the letter that shows how satisfied you are with the foliowing:


| Excellent A | $\underset{8}{\text { Good }}$ | Fair $\mathrm{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Poor } \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{F}{\text { Very Poor }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't Know } \\ \text { DK } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Please circle the letter that shows your grade for the following:
The quality of the instructional program in the district. A B $\quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{DK}$
The district's instructional program meets the needs of
students from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds. : . A B $\quad$ C $\quad$ D $\quad$ F $\quad$ DK
The district's instructional program meets the needs of
students with differing academic abilities $\ldots \ldots \ldots$
Please grade your school for each of the following:
The quality of the instructional program in your school. A B C $\bar{D} \quad F \quad D K$


Behav ior of students . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B © $\quad$ © F F K

Secondary staff only:
Preparing students for jobs if they are not planning
to go to college . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Secondary staff only:
Preparing students for college . . . . . . . . . . . . . A $\quad 8 \quad$ C $\quad 0 \quad \bar{F} \quad$ DK

| Frequentiy | Often B | $\underset{C}{\text { Occasionally }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seldoa } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{F}{\text { Neqer }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't Know } \\ \text { DK } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Please circle the letter that shows how of ten you perform these tasks:


| Strongly Approve A | Approve <br> B | Neither Approve nor Disapprove C | Disepprove | $\begin{gathered} \text { Strongly } \\ \text { Disapprove } \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | Don't Know DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Please circle the letter that shows how strongly you approve the following:

```
Upgraded standards (guidelines) for homework,
attendance, and discipline
```

If the instractional progral were changed, how would you feel aboat the following changes?

```
Permitting young children to attend
```




```
Elesentary staff only:
```




Circle the letter that shows hoo important the following are to superior teaching:


Gircle the letter that shows how fieportant the following are for students:


If you have additional coments on any instructional aspect of the school that you were not asked about in the survey, use the space below,

|  | 156 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Form $W$. | 115 |


| Very Effective A | Effective | Meither Effective nor Ineffective C | Ineffective D | $\begin{gathered} \text { Very } \\ \text { Ineffective } \\ F \end{gathered}$ | Don't <br> Know DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Please circle the letter that shows how effective your school program is in:


What is the single biggest proble facing the public schools? Check one.
Parents' lack of interest
Lack of money
Students' lack of interest
Lack of discipitne
Problems with administration
Poor eurriculum
Students' use of drugs
Low teacher salaries
Difficulty getting good teachers
Large schools/overcrowding
Teachers' lack of interest
Lack of respect for teachers
Lack of public support
School board policies
Mismagement of funds
Lack of needed teachers
Crime/vandalism
Fighting
Pupil dropout rate
There are no problems
0ther:

Mark the Administrative Region or Division in which you work.

| A | C |  | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | D | F | H |

Mark the grades taught in your school.

Mark the grade(s) you teach.
Pre K $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ 3 ${ }^{4}-{ }^{5}-{ }^{6}-7$ $-8$ $-9$ $\qquad$ Ungrade
mark the type of school in which you teach.
Elementary $\qquad$ Junior High $\qquad$ Senior High $\qquad$
Mark the kind of school in wich you teach.


Check YRS schedule:
45/15
90/30 $\qquad$ 60/20 $\qquad$ Concept 6 $\qquad$ Goncept 5 Modified $\qquad$

Check the position that best describes your job.


Check how long you have taught at your present school.
Less than 1 year $\qquad$ 6 to 10 years $\qquad$ 21 years or more $\qquad$
THANK YOU FOR YODR PARTICIPATIOW.

## LOS AMGELES UMIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Research and Evaluation Branch



This questionnaire is part of the third annual Basic Activities Survey conducted by the Research and Evaluation Branch of the Los Angeles Unified School District. The survey is designed to assess your opinions regarding the district's instructional program.
 pertaining to your assignment. Place your completed form in the envelope provided. Give the sealed envelope to your school's Survey Coordinator for return to the Researc and Evaluation Branch.

## Please write your responses to the following questions in the spaces provided.

1. Are you satisfied with the quality of the instructional program in the district? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
2. Explain why.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. How can the instructional program in the district be improved?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Have you attended any staff development sessions this school year?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
5. If yes, list the topic(s) discussed.
a.
b. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
d. $\qquad$
Was this session effective, (e.g., interesting, informative, and useful)?
a. Yes
b. Yes _

$\qquad$

Was this session sponsored by the school, region, or district? (Specify one.)
a. $\qquad$
b. $\qquad$
$c$. $\qquad$
d. $\qquad$
6. What types of staff development activities do you fee? are effective, e.g., hold your interest, teach/inform, and prove useful?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
7. What types of staff development programs (topics) would you like provided for certificated staff?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

- Do you feel you are required to do an excessive amount of record keeping?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
. How much of your time is spent on record keeping each week? (Round to the closest whole hour.)

| $1 / 2$ hour or less |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1-8$ hours | $9-10$ hours |
| $3-4$ hours |  |$\quad$| more than 10 hours |
| :--- |

5-6 hours

- Which of your record keeping activities do you feel are useful or necessary for instruction or school organization?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
- Which of your record keeping activities do you feel should be eliminated?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
uestions 12-14 are for first-year teachers only:
* Are you satisfied with the mentor teacher program?
$\qquad$
- Explain why.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
- How can the mentor teacher program be improved?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
iorm A

Mark the Administrative Region or Division in which you work.
A $\qquad$
$C$
$D$
E
G
$H$
Senior High
Special Education $\qquad$

Mark the grades taught in your school.

Mark the grade (s) you teach.

Mark the type of school in which you teach.
Elementary $\qquad$ Junior High $\qquad$ Senior High $\qquad$
Mark the kind of school in which you teach.

| Regular | Continuation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Year-Round | Magnet |$\quad$| Opportunity |
| :--- |
| Special Education |

Check YRS schedule:
45/15 $\qquad$ 90/30 $\qquad$ 60/20 $\qquad$ Concept 5 $\qquad$ 'concept 6 modified $\qquad$
Check the position that best describes your job.

Classroom teacher
Special education teacher Counselor
Other nonclassroom certificated position $\qquad$
Check how many years of full-time contracted professional experience you have had in LRUSD or any district, including this year.

Less than 3 years $\qquad$ 11 to 20 years $\qquad$

Check how long you have taught at your present school.
Less than 1 year
6 to 10 years $\qquad$

1 to 5 years
11 to 20 years

If you have additional comments on the instructional program, please use the space below.

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION.

## LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Research and Evaluation Branch



This is the third annual Basic Activities Survey conducted by the Research and Evaluation Branch of the Los Angeles Unified School District. The survey is designed to assess your opinions regarding the district's instructional program. A similar survey is also sent to a sample of certificated staff and parents.

Do not write your name. Your responses are anonymous. Please respond to all items. Place sour completed form in the envelope provided. Give the sealed envelope to the school's Survey Coordinator for return to the Reseach and Evaluation Branch.


Please circle the letter that shows how satisfied you are with the following:
The district's emphas is on basic skills. . . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
Staff development activities offered by the district . . . A B $\quad$ C $\quad D \quad F \quad D K$
The extent to which your principal has adequately
communicated to you what is expected of you. . . . . . . A B C D F DK
The appearance of the school buildings and grounds . . . . A B $\quad$ C $\quad$ D $F$ DK
The usefulness of required record keep ing. . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
The school environment encourages learning . . . . . . . A B C D F DK


Please circle the letter that shows your grade for the following:
The quality of the instructional program in the district. A B C D F DK
The district's instructional program meets the needs of
students from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds. . . . A B C D F DK
The district's instructional program meets the needs of
students with differing academic abilities . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
Please grade your school for each of the following.


## Secondary staff only:

Preparing students for jobs if they are not planning
to go to college.....................A B C D F DK

Secondary staff only:
Preparing students for college . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F DK

| Use this scale for the next 4 ftens. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Approve A | Approve B | Neither Approve nor Disapprove C | Disapprove | Strongly Disapprove F | Don't Know DK |

ease circle the letter that shows how strongly you approve the following:
graded standards (guidel*nes) for homework, tendance, and disciplint . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
the instructional program were changed, how would you feel about the llowing changes?

cle the letter that shows how important the folloring are to superior teaching:

ase circle the letter that shows how iaportant the following are to your e in the district's instructional progran:
viding support to the instructional program . . . . . . A B C D F DK
ntaining a good environment . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
:ting standards of good behavior . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
viding support to students. . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
m X
(Over)


Please circle the letter that shows how effective your school program is in:
Keeping each student aware of his/her progress
regarding expected academic performance . . . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
Finding ways to acknowledge student efforts and
accomplishments in academic, personal, and social areas.. A B C D F DK
What is the single biggest problem facing the public schools? Check one-


Please check the Administrative Region or Division in which you work.
A $\qquad$

| C |
| :--- |
| D | $\qquad$

$\underset{F}{\mathrm{~F}}$
G $\qquad$
Senior High
Special Education $\qquad$

Check the number of years you have been employed in the district, including this year.

```
Less than 3 years
```

$\qquad$
11 to 20 years $\qquad$

``` 3 to 10 years
21 to 30 years
``` \(\qquad\)

31 years or more \(\qquad\)

Check the one that best describes your assignment.
Elementary \(\qquad\) Junior High \(\qquad\) Senior High \(\qquad\)
Check the one that best describes your job.

Secretary/Office Manager/Clerk \(\qquad\) Teacher Assistant Education Aide

Cafeteria Staff Custodial Staff

Thank you for participating. If you have additional coments on any instructional aspect of the school that you were not asked about in the survey, please enclose a separate sheet. (Ho staples, please.)


This annual survey is conductad by the Research and Eveluation Branch of the Los Angeles Unified School District as one of the district's Basic Activities. The survey asks your opinions about the instructional program in your child's school and the district.

Do not write your name on this form. When you have finished answering the questions, please have your child take the form back to school tomorrow. You may receive more than one form from the same school if you have more than one child attending the school. Complete and return only one form for each school.

Children receive the grades \(A, B, C, D\), and \(F\) on their report card for schoolvork. Use the following scale as a report card. Grade your child's school or the school district for each ite on this page.
\begin{tabular}{|ccccccc|}
\hline Excellent \\
\(\mathbf{A}\)
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
Good \\
\(\mathbf{B}\)
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
Fair \\
\(\mathbf{C}\)
\end{tabular}

For the items below, circle the letter that shows your grade for the school district.
The way the district's instructional program meets the needs of
students from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds . . . . . . . A A B C \(\quad\) D \(\quad\) F \(\quad\) D
The way the district's instructional program meets the needs

The district's requirenients for a student to get a
high school diploma
\(\begin{array}{llllll}A & B & C & D & D i\end{array}\)
The district's current emphasis on learning basic
skills (reading, writing, English, and mathematics). . . . . . . . A B C D F Di
The quality of the instructional program in the district.....A \(\quad\) B \(C \quad D \quad F \quad D i\)
Please grade your child's school for each of the following:


The help your child gets in learning English
(if you speak another language at home). . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F Dk
The amount of information you get about your child's progress in
school (notes, report cards, conferences, phone calls) .......A B C D F Dk
The teaching at your child's school. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F Dk
Preparing students for jobs if they are not planning to
go to college . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F Dk
The availability of teachers for conferences to discuss

The information provided on school report cards about your
child's academic achievement, work rabits, and citizenship . . . . A B C D F DK
Preparing students for college . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
Books and instructional materials. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F \(\quad\) CK
Behavior of students . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A B C D F DK
Form Y

ircle the letter that shows how iuportant the following are for stadents:


That is the single biggest problem facing the schools in your comanity? Check one.
'arent's lack of interest
ack of money
ack of discipline
'roblems with administration
'oor curriculum
tudents' use of drugs
ow teacher salaries
ifficulty getting good teachers arge schools/overcrowding


Teacher's lack of interest
Lack of respect for teachers
Lack of public support
School board policies
Mismanagement of funds
Lack of needed teachers
Crime/vandalism Fighting
Pupil dropout rate
There are no problems Other: \(\qquad\)

That do you think is the single best part of the schools in your comunity? Check one.
uality of education eachers urriculum ommunication with parents
rmi \(Y\)


Discipline Location Variety of programs Extracurricular activities Other:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Yery } \\
\text { Satisfied } \\
A
\end{gathered}
\] & Satisfied
\(\mathbf{B}\) & Neither Satisfied or Dissatisfied C & \[
\underset{\mathbf{D}}{\text { Dissatisfied }}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Yery } \\
\text { Dissatisfied } \\
\text { F }
\end{gathered}
\] & Don't Know DK \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Please circle the letter that shows how satisfied you are with the following: The appearance of the school buildings and grounds . . A B C D F DK The school environment encourages learning . . . . . A B C D F DK

For the rewainder of the questions on this page, pat an " \(x\) " in the line next to the answer you choose.

What is your relationship to the child bringing this survey home? (Check one.)
Mother (or Female Guardian) \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
Mother and Father \\
Father (or Male Guardian)
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) (Male and Female Guardian)

Approximate length of time this child has attended this school:


In what grade(s) do you have children in district schools? (Check as many as needed.)

In what type(s) of school are these children? (Check as many as needed.)


Special Education \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\) , Concept 6 Mod. \(\qquad\) +

Hould you like your child to go to college after graduating from high school?
\(\qquad\)
How much time does your child spend on homework each school night?
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(1 / 2\) hour or less \\
\(1 / 2\) hour to 1 hour & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 hour to \(11 / 2\) hours \\
1
\end{tabular} \(1 / 2\) hours to 2 hours
\end{tabular}\(\quad 2\) hours or more

Please coment on any instructional aspects of the schools that you were not asked about in the survey.

THANK YOU FOR CONTRIBUTING YOUR IDEAS TO THIS SURVEY. PLEASE HAVE YOUR CHILD RETURH YOUR COHPLETED SURVEY TO SCHOOL TOMORRON.


Esta encuesta anual está dirigida por la Divisifn de Investigacion y Evaluacion del Distrito Escolar Unificado de Los Angeles como una de las Actividades Básicas del Distrito. La encuesta pide sus opiniones sobre el Distrito y el programa educativo de la escuela a la que su hijo/a asiste.

No escriba st nombre en esta forma. Cuando termine de contestar las preguntas, por favor haga que mañana su hijo(a) devuelva esta forma a la escuela: Tal vez reciba mfs de una forma de la misma escuela si tiene más de un nifo que asiste a la escuela. Llene \(y\) devuelva s6lo una forma por cada escuela.

Los \(\bar{n}\) ños reciben las calificaciones de \(A, B, C, D, y\), \(\bar{F}\) en boleta de Calificaciones por su trabajo eecolar. Use la grafica siguiente como Boleta de Calificaciones. Cali= fique a la escuela de ou hijo(a) o al distrito escolar con una de las declaraciones de esta pagina.
\begin{tabular}{|ccccccc|}
\hline Use esta grafica para las siguientes & 16 & declaraciones & \\
Excelente & Buena & Regular & Mala & Muy Mala & No se \\
A & \(B\) & \(C\) & \(D\) & NS & NS \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Para calificar las siguientes declaraciones, haga un circulo alrededor de la letra que demuestre su calificación para el distrito escolar.

El modo en que el programa de instruccion del distrito satisface las necesidades de los estudiantes de distintos origenes étnicos y raclales. ABCDFN

El modo en que el programa de instrucción del distrito satiaface las necesidades de los estudiantes con habilidades acadêmicas diferentes

ABCDFN
Los requisitos del distrito para que el estudiante obtenga un diploma de secundaria.

El ênfasis que actualmente pone el distrito para que aprendan las destreas bắsicas (lectura, escritura, inglếs y matemáticas). ABCDFN

La calidad del programa de instrucción en el distrito.
ABCDF
Por favor califique la egcuela de su hijo(a) en cada una de las siguientes declaraciones: La calidad del programa de instrucción en la escuela de su hijo(a)............ A B C D F N La cantidad de tarea que le dejan para hacerla en el hogar...................... A B C D F A La ayuda que su hijo(a) recibe para aprender inglês (si usted habla otro idioma en su hogar). ABCDE

La cantidad de informacion que usted recibe sobre el progreso de ou hijo(a)
en la escuela (notas, calificaciones, conferencias, llamadas telefónicas).... A B C D F A

La preparación para conseguir empleo para aquellos estudiantes que no planean
ir a colegio.
ABCDFI
La disposicín de los maestros para tener conferencias y discutir el progreso
academico de su hijo(a).
\(A B C D F\)
La informacion que se proporciona en las boletas de calificaciones sobre el aprovechamiento academico de su hijo(a), habitos de trabajo y ciudadanfa..... A B C D F P




faga un cfrculo alrededor de la letra que demuestre que tan importante es lo siguiente para los estudiantes:
Jesarrollando buenos hãbitos de trabajo (la habilidad para organizar ;us pensamientos, concentrarse y terminar su trabajo) ..... ABCDFNS
?ensar analiticamente(ifgicamente) ABCDFNS
lablar y escribir coriectamente ..... ABCDFNS
lacer planes practicos sobre lo que va a hacer despues de su graduaciot delecundarie.ABCDFNS
spreciar y participar en arte, música, literatura, teatro, etc. ..... ABCDFNS
'ermitir a los niños que asistan a clases en kinder durante todo el dia ..... A B C D F NS
gar las letras en la boleta de calificaciones, para calificar el progresoel \(\mathrm{K}^{2} 2^{2}\) gradoABCDFNS
sar las letras en la boleta de calificaciones, para calificar el progreso
el \(3^{2}-6^{2}\) grado. ..... ABCDENS
etener un año más a los alumnos de \(\mathrm{K}^{-2 \text { ² }}\) grado ..... ABCDFNS
etener un año más a los alumnos de \(3^{\text {a }}-6^{\text {a }}\) grado ..... ABCDFNSCuál cree que sea el problema más grande a que se enfrentan las escuelas de su comunidad?arque UNA solamente.
a falta de interés de los padres Falta de respeto a los maestros
```

a falta de interés de los estudiantes

```
alta de disciplina
roblemas con la administración
n programa de estudios inferior
1 uso de drogas por los estudiantes
alarios bajos para los maestros
ificultades para conseguir buenos maestros
scuelas muy grandes y sobrecupo
a falta de interés de los maestros

Qué cree que es lo mejor de las escuelas de su comunidad? Marque UNA solamente.
alidad de la educacion
os maestros
1 programa de estudios
a comunicacion con los padres \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
La disciplina
\end{tabular}

\section*{tros:}
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
Muy & & & Ni Satisfecho & Muy \\
Satisfecho & Satisfecho & Ni Insatisfecho & Insatisfecho & Insatisfecho \\
A & B & C & D & SE \\
& & & & FS
\end{tabular}

Por favor haga un circulo alrededor de la letra que demuestre qué tan satisfecho esta ud. con lo siguiente:
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
La apariencia de los edificios y terrenos escolares.... A & B & C & D & F \\
E1 ambiente escolar estimula al aprendizaje........... A & B & C & D & F & NS
\end{tabular}

Para el resto de las preguntas de esta pägina, ponga una "X" sobre la linea que estâ junto a la respuesta que escoja.
¿Cuâl es su parentezco con el niño/a que trajo esta encuesta al hogar? (Marque UNO)
```

Madre (o Tutora) Madre y Padre
Padre (o Tutor) (o tutora y tutor)

```

Tiempo aproximado que este niño/a ha asistido a esta escuela:

¿En qué grado(s) tiene usted niños en las escuelas del Distrito? (Haga un circulo alrededor de todos los grados necesarios.)

¿En qué elase de escuela estấn estos niños? (Marque todas las que necesite.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Primaria & Especializada & Itinerario para las De Todo El Año: \\
\hline Secundaria Intermedia & De Continuación & 90/30_, 60/20 , 45/15 \\
\hline Secundaria & De Oportunidades & Concepto 6 , Concepto 6 Mo \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Educación Especial \(\qquad\)
¿Le gustaria q̨ue su hijo/a fuera a la universidad después de graduarse de secundaria?
51 \(\qquad\) No \(\qquad\) No Sé
¿Cuấnto tiempo pasa su hijo/a haciendo la tarea en su casa despuếs de la escuela?
1/2 hora o menos
1 hora a \(1-1 / 2\) horas \(\qquad\) 2 horas o más \(\qquad\)
\(1 / 2\) hora a 1 hora \(\quad 1=1 / 2\) horas a 2 horas \(\square\)

\(\qquad\)

Por favor escriba algún comentario tocante a los aspectos de instruccion en las escuelas sobre las que no se le haya hecho preguntas en esta encuesta.
```


[^0]:    

    * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{DK}=$ Don't Know

